

EIOPA DPM Documentation

LAST UPDATE: 17/03/2023

Contents

I Abstract3
II Introduction
III General building blocks and terminology of DPM methodology4
III.1 DPM dictionary5
III.2 DPM framework
III.3 Data point and fact12
IV EIOPA Data Point Model13
<i>IV.1 Input materials: Reporting Templates and Business Logs13</i>
IV.2 MD and HD versions of the DPM14
IV.3 Structure of the Solvency II, Pension Funds, PEPP KID and PEPP PR DPM.18IV.3.1 EIOPA DPM DictionaryIV.3.2 EIOPA Annotated Templates24
V Particularities of the DPM technical implementation
V.1 Differences between Reporting Templates and Annotated Templates
 V.3 Specific DPM-based solutions applied

VI	Differences introduced with adopting ATOME: Matter (Public Working
Draft)	40

VI.1.1 Changes in EIOPA DPM Dictionary	40
VI.1.2 General remarks to the Annotated	Templates44

I Abstract

This document described the Data Point Model [DPM] defined by EIOPA to support reporting of Solvency II, Pension Funds containing an integrated and standalone PEPP Prudential Reporting (PR) and Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID data. It introduces the DPM terminology, presents the resulting artefacts (DPM Dictionary and Annotated Templates) and explains in detail the approach applied for data modelling.

II Introduction

One of the aims of EIOPA is to improve harmonisation and support coherent application of rules applied for financial institutions and markets across the European Union. In order to achieve this goal a set of common legal acts has been published: the Framework Directive, the Implementing Technical Standards and the Public Guidelines. These acts define among others a set of data to be reported by the undertakings (in particular in the Reporting Templates and supporting Business Logs).

In order to facilitate the data exchange process, EIOPA decided to use an XBRL standard as a mean for technical definition of information requirements (in form of XBRL taxonomies) and as a technical data submission format (XBRL instance documents).

The Data Point Modelling methodology has emerged in the evolution process of application of the XBRL standard for financial and prudential reporting¹. In the beginning and for the first few years XBRL taxonomies have been developed by the IT experts who basically translated the tabular representation of information requirements to the technical format. At some point though the maintenance and updates started to require increasing business input and the business domain experts had been more and more

¹ <u>http://eurofiling.info/portal/data-point-model/</u>

involved in the process. This caused the need for definition of a formal model for description of requested data which could be provided by the data users and translated to technical format by the IT without any loss of information or space for interpretation. The resulting methodology has been called the Data Point Modelling to emphasise the shift in the approach from the form centric representation of information requirements (based on tabular views) to the data centric definitions (detailing properties of each exchanged piece of information).

Currently DPM methodology is considered as an intermediary layer between the information requirements definition in legal acts and its technical representation. Following other European supervisors (such as EBA) and some National Competent Authorities (NCAs), EIOPA decided to use this methodology to properly reflect in XBRL all relevant properties of the exchanged data.

The result of the DPM modelling process is a structured description of the model in form of a dictionary (listing and naming all breakdowns and their components identified in the process of analysing the legal acts) and a set of annotated templates (tabular views of requested data with description from the dictionary). These two documents are subsequently used as the inputs for XBRL taxonomy generation process.

The result of application of the DPM methodology shall support fulfilment of the following requirements:

- remove redundancy of metadata definitions (no duplicated data points),
- increase consistency of metadata definitions (clarity and explicitness of definitions),
- increase efficiency of data tagging and mapping (accuracy of assigning tags to data points for generation to/from existing systems),
- advance metadata maintenance procedures (change management and communication),
- facilitate non-IT technical experts' involvement (data modelling is performed by the business users),
- support data mapping procedures (manual and automatic).

III General building blocks and terminology of DPM methodology

An important impact on the organization of the DPM has the process of its definition. The starting point is a set of legal acts composed of the text of regulations,

guidelines, international standards and the tabular representation of the information requirements. These input materials are analysed in order to define consistent classifications (breakdowns with enumerated properties) used to categorise the content of the tables². The main division of in the DPM is therefore a clear separation of a **dictionary** (definition of breakdowns in general) and the tabular representation of current information requirements gathered in **frameworks** (which in case of the EIOPA DPM takes form of the Annotated Templates). This is particularly important from the standpoint of maintenance. While dictionary is expected to steadily grow in time and assure backward compatibility (i.e. to support all previous versions), frameworks can change more drastically and dynamically depending on actual information requirements.

III.1 DPM dictionary

Dictionary defines the classifications used in data description. It does it by identifying elements: metrics (that may be arranged in relationship sets), domains and their value constraints or members (plus relationships between them) and dimension as presented on Figure 1 and explained in the next paragraphs.




Figure 1. DPM dictionary

² Ideally though, the process should be reversed, i.e. start with the definition of breakdowns that would subsequently be applied in description of information requirements presented in the tabular format.

Each dictionary element must have a unique (in scope of its definition³) <u>name/code</u> and identify an <u>owner</u> (authority who defined it/is responsible for its maintenance). Additionally it should have a human readable <u>label</u> (in one – usually English – or more languages and optionally serving different roles/purposes) and may contain other documentary properties (e.g. references to underlying legislation or guidelines, more verbose explanations, etc.). For maintenance purposes declaration of each element must contain a creation date, may include a date of last modification as well as a currency period (from and to dates) when the element is considered to be in application.

A **metric** is the minimum description of each data point (each data point in the model must include in its definition one, and only one, metric). It carries the information on the expected value (data type) and the time context (period type)⁴. It may include other semantics (business properties) depending on the approach taken by the author of the model.

Other classifications are represented by domains. A **domain** is a set of elements/values sharing a specified semantic nature. Domain can be of one of two kinds: explicit and typed. An **explicit domain** has its elements enumerated in the model while a **typed domain** values are assigned in the reports based on a specified format (data type).

Elements of an explicit domain are called domain members. A **domain member** (or simply a member) is enumerated element of an explicit domain. All members from a domain share a certain common nature defined subjectively but applied consistently by the model's author. A typical example of a domain is "Geographical areas". Members of this domain could be different areas of the Earth, classified according to the physical geography ("Europe", "Pacific Ocean", "Himalayas", ...) and/or human geography ("France", "EU", "G-20 major economies", ...). Combining physical and human geography into one domain is already the author's subjective view of the classification. The number of members in explicit domains varies from two (e.g. "Yes" and "No") to hundreds (in case of countries or currencies).

³ In general name/code must be unique for a given owner for metrics, domains and dimensions. Relationship set and members' names/codes must be unique for an owner and a domain that they belong to.

⁴ Time context could be also carried by dimensional attributes.

An example of a typed domain could be the ISIN identifier (used to identify uniquely financial instruments) which is restricted to a certain number of characters.

In order to document the relations between domain members or between metrics, they can be gathered in **relationship sets** (sometimes called subdomains or hierarchies). A **relationship** is constructed from nodes and arcs. A **node** refers to a metric (in relationship sets for metrics) or to a domain member (in relationship set of domain members). Nodes are arranged as directed graphs. An **arc** (edge) identifies the source node, the target node, and the order of the relation in a relationship set. It may also identify a node as used for organizational purposes only (with usable property). Arcs may also document the basic arithmetic relations by identifying the type of operation (" \geq ", " \leq " or "=") and weight by which the target node contributes to the value of a source node (in applications of the DPM so far constrained mainly to identification of a sign, i.e. "+1" and "-1"). In general all members of explicit domains should participate in hierarchical relationships and whenever possible, these relationships shall reflect arithmetical dependencies as presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Example of arithmetical dependencies between domain members expressed in
the DPM as a hierarchy (subdomain)

Member	Comparison operator	Sign and weight
Calculated as a sum of best estimate and risk margin	=	
Best estimate	=	+1
Best estimate [before adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default]		+1
Adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default		-1
Risk margin		+1

In some cases a hierarchy (subdomain) is defined as a flat list of members to be used in a certain scenario (e.g. applied to a particular dimension, driven by information requirements of a template or set of members referenced by an enumerated metric).

Usually hierarchies include only some members of a domain, especially when there could be alternative classifications, e.g. "Poland"/"Other than Poland" and "EU"/"Other than EU" would never form a single hierarchy as "EU" includes "Poland" plus some other countries while "Other than EU" includes "Other than Poland" minus some countries.

Hierarchies are an important part of the model as they help to maintain coherence within a domain.

In order to be used in description of information requirements a domain member or a typed domain value requires a **dimension** that provides a context of its application. In other words dimensions contextualise domain members when applied to a data point i.e. they contribute to the semantics of a member which, without a dimension, may be insufficient to represent the full meaning of a property. For instance, in case of "Geographical areas" domain, "Spain" as a member could represent "Location of an issuer" of a financial instrument, "Location of a stock exchange" where this instrument is traded, "Location of a broker" who participated as a middleman in the transaction or finally "Location of a buyer" who purchased this instrument. The same domain member "Spain" was contextualised in this example by four different dimensions. A similar situation may appear in case of a typed domain whose restriction could be different based on the dimension contextualising its value, e.g. code = 123-345-567-890 could be the "Identification number for tax purposes" or "Company registration number", where the kind/type of the number is given by the dimension.

Each dimension must be associated with a domain and may contextualize any member or value of this domain. A domain may have associated more than one dimension, in such a case a member of a domain can be contextualized with many dimensions when representing a reportable piece of information.

Explicit domain should specify a **default member** that is assumed to be applied to all dimensions referring to this domain in case they are not explicitly used in description of the required data, i.e. these default members are implicitly applied to every data point that is not explicitly characterised by a particular dimension. For example, a dimension "Original currency" may be associated with a default member "All currencies". This means that when a data point does not explicitly mention the "Original currency" dimension, it is assumed that it takes the "All currencies" member for this dimension.

Default members are very useful when defining the model, as otherwise every data point would have to explicitly mention each dimension and the applicable member. With default members it is enough for a data point to name only the properties that are important to distinguish this data point from other data points. Although technically in XBRL the "default" is a property of a member with respect to a dimension, the DPM assumes that all dimensions referring to a certain domain would have the same default member. This means that only one member in a domain can be assigned as a default and shall apply to all dimensions referring to this domain.

There could be dimensions in the model that do not apply to some data points. For example, a data point representing "Equity instruments" is unlikely to be linked to the

"Original maturity" dimension (shares and other ownership rights usually do not have maturity). Therefore, the default member is usually named "Total/Not-applicable".

Data types of metrics and typed domains are in particular: monetary, decimal, percentage, integer, Boolean, date and URI but can be further extended (by defining new data types or restricting existing data types) if needed. A metric may also be restricted to a specific type of a typed domain or to an enumerated list of members. In the latter case it refers to a relationship set of members, identifies a starting member and whether it is included in the set of allowed values. In specific cases it may also inform how many generations (children, grandchildren, ...) of members form the list of allowed values and take into account also the usable property (that may characterise the use of a member in a hierarchy merely for grouping purposes).

III.2 DPM framework

Framework represents information requirements for a specified scope. Frameworks components and relations between them are presented schematically on Figure 2.

A **taxonomy** is a version of a framework, identified by a reference to the underlying legal acts (name and version of information requirements) plus a date stamp (taxonomy publication date). A taxonomy consists of one or more tables that are usually gathered in table groups and further referenced from modules. It is possible that a taxonomy refers to and reuses tables from previous versions of a framework.

A **module** represents a set of information requirements that are supposed to be submitted in a single report. Typical factors taken into account when defining the scope of a module include:

- data nature homogeneity,
- frequency of reporting (i.e. scope of data transmitted on monthly, quarterly, yearly basis),
- subject scope (e.g. solo and consolidated data),
- accounting or other regulations impacting definitions of data.

Reporting entity classifies a report for submission according to one of modules predefined in the taxonomy.




Figure 2. DPM framework

A **table group** typically gather tables in so called reporting units as defined in the underlying legal acts. Table groups, referred also as templates, can be nested in case another thematic classification may be applied.

A **table** is a graphical representation of information requirements and a format for data presentation.

An **axis** is a basic component of a table representing columns, rows, or sheets (i.e. multiplication of a table based on a property specified on this axis). Axis disposition/orientation is defined as in the Cartesian coordinates system where "x" axis defines columns, "y" axis - rows and "z" axis - sheets. Every table must have at least one axis for columns and one for rows but may also include more than one axis of certain kind (e.g. two or more axis representing rows). Axis can be fixed or open.

A **fixed axis** consists of nodes. Nodes can be concrete or referencing.

A **concrete node** is each header of a fixed axis. Nodes can be arranged in nested structures. In such a case, relationships between nodes identify ordering and the manner of presentation of child nodes before or after (for rows) or to the left or right (for columns) in relation to the parent node. Moreover a concrete node can be classified as abstract if it is included in the table merely for the purpose of organization of headers rather than a resulting in a line containing data.

A **referencing node** points to a relationship set (of metrics or domain members) in a dictionary, specifies the starting node and informs if it included in the set of selected values. The resulting visualisation converts the hierarchy nodes into concrete nodes of an axis. Referencing node is basically an alternative to explicit enumeration of concrete nodes with the benefit of reusing already defined breakdowns and also several constraints (e.g. limited customization of header titles/labels, lack of possibility of inclusion of other codes or marking of unreportable cells and unambiguous treatment of unreported data).

An **open axis** refers to a dimension (usually typed) or other aspects of facts, in particular the temporal reference, entity or unit specific information (for more details see then next section in this document). Nodes (headers) are therefore dynamically constructed based on values contained in a report. In case when a table contains more than one axis of certain kind, the resulting visualisation is a Cartesian product of nodes or values of each axis. This is typically done in so called open tables (i.e. tables with undefined number of rows, when one or more columns are row keys provided in a report) or when there are several axes multiplying the table in sheets.

A concrete node may refer to a metric, dimension member pairs or specific typed dimension values and other aspects of a fact. This reference is inherited from parent nodes to child nodes unless prohibited or overridden by a different metric or member for a given dimension.


Content of a table is additionally defined by hypercubes. A **hypercube** links metrics to dimension member pairs or typed dimensions (and their specific values if applicable). They are constructed as defined in the XBRL Dimensions specification and are technical artefacts. In DPM model reflection of a framework, such as the Annotate Templates it is enough to reflect reportable and prohibited (non-reportable) cells.

Cells in tables appear on and are described by properties (including inheritance) from intersection of row and column headers and information from the sheet (i.e.

particular multiplication of a table). Non-reportable cells (usually marked graphically as criss-crossed or grey shaded and excluded from reporting as illogical or simply unrequested) are a result superposition of the hypercubes (that define only allowed combinations) on the table visualization (based on axes and their content).

Similarly to dictionary elements, framework elements such as frameworks itself, taxonomy, module, table group, table, axis and node are identified by a code/name, human readable label and owner. Axis nodes usually also contain a code (called "rc-code") that facilitates addressing of cells in a table.

III.3 Data point and fact



Relation between a data point and fact is schematically presented on Figure 3.

Figure 3. Data point and fact

A **data point** is characterised by a <u>metric</u> and may be further described by <u>dimensional properties</u>. It may also be provided a <u>temporal reference</u> i.e. identification of a period that is different to the default reference period of a report.

A **fact** refers to a data point by applying a metric as defined by a data point and linking to a context that contains dimensional properties corresponding to those defined by a data point.

A **context** apart from dimensional properties also contains identification of a <u>reporting entity</u> (using an identifier value according to the provided scheme) and a <u>reference period</u> that in general informs about the moment or time interval for measurement/expression of a fact value.

Non-numeric facts may contain an attribute informing about the language for its textual value.

Numeric facts contain an attribute expressing <u>data accuracy</u> and refer to declaration of a **unit** of measure.

Footnotes can provide additional textual explanation on facts.

As explained in the previous sections, a report must also identify a <u>module</u> based on which it was created and contain a list of <u>filing indicators</u> referring to reported units (table groups or tables) that are further used as preconditions for evaluations of validation rules.

IV EIOPA Data Point Model

EIOPA Data Point Model follows the organization as presented in the previous section. However it has also many unique features that differentiate it from other existing DPMs (such as the EBA model used in banking supervision). These are in particular:

- two layers approach (MD and HD),
- significant portion of complex open tables (with unknown and potentially large number of rows) which requires simplification of their modelling in order to allow usability,
- high number of entry points (modules) reflecting various reporting scenarios,
- Excel format for definition of the model in form of the DPM Dictionary and Annotated Templates (aiming to resemble the Business Templates from the Solvency II, Pension Funds and Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID and PR legal acts),
- technical constructs applied in these Excel files in order to extract all DPM metadata in an automated manner to a structured format of a DPM database and subsequently to XBRL taxonomy syntax.

The chapter describes in detail the approach applied in the DPM modelling of Solvency II, Pension Funds and Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID and PR information requirements.

IV.1 Input materials: Reporting Templates and Business Logs

The main inputs for definition of the DPM model are the Reporting Templates and the Business Logs provided by EIOPA.

Reporting Templates reflect Solvency II, Pension Funds or Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID and PR information requirements arranged in the form of tabular views while the Business Logs specify in more detail manner the requested content by giving the meaning of information described by particular rows and columns of each template.

From the data modelling perspective, they provided all necessary information for identification of the general breakdowns describing the requested data (defined in the DPM Dictionary), current reporting requirements (in the form of sets of data points represented by the DPM Annotated Templates) as well as the checks and constraints on values to be reported⁵.

IV.2 MD and HD versions of the DPM

The main purpose of the DPM methodology is to identify each reportable piece of information (a data point) in a precise and unambiguous manner. As a result the DPM defines usually high number of dimensions. This situation has a number of advantages:

- the model is data centric and independent from the particular views of data (templates),
- each data point is classified in detailed according to all applicable characteristics that are defined separately,
- dependencies between concepts are explicit and clearly identifiable,
- supports change management (based on defining specific differences),
- applied breakdowns can be used for various purposes including data querying for analysis,
- a bridge with other reporting frameworks can be established using specific properties on each data point,
- data model is less subjective and has fewer space for arbitrary modelling decisions (e.g. if a certain property shall be included in the semantics of a metrics or represented by a dimensional property).

 $^{^5}$ Must be noted that Annotated Templates, due to implementation assumption, could contain more information than Reporting templates (find more details in chapter V).

Detailed definition of each property comes however at cost of readability of a model. It also impacts the technical representation of the model in the XBRL format: instance documents are larger in terms of size and code which hinders performance of their parsing and validation. Additionally the XBRL Formula assertions require to use a high number of dimensions in order to properly filter the facts for evaluation of variables in the context of a report.

To overcome the drawbacks while maintaining of all the benefits the EIOPA DPM applies two layers for data modelling and representation:

- a Highly Dimensional (HD) approach and
- a Moderately Dimensional (MD) approach.

In HD approach the model is defined according the DPM methodology where metrics resemble the very basic properties of a data point that typically determine only its data type. In MD approach the semantics of each metric is extended by inclusion in its definition a number of dimensional properties that in the HD approach are represented by separate and independent dimension-member pairs. Decision on which properties are included in the MD metric is closely aligned with the template view of the required data set (as described in the next paragraphs of this chapter). Other dimensional properties are shared between the two approaches and applied to data points in both versions. This means that MD and HD versions resemble the same model, but MD includes some of the business properties in the definition of a metric while the HD approach keeps all business semantics as dimension-member pairs.

The relation between MD and HD data points is schematically presented in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Schematic relation between MD and HD data points

The DPM dictionary contains definitions of properties for both HD and MD approach. The Annotated Templates contain references to the HD components with additional information (based on the applied font colouring convention) to allow the equivalent MD references to be derived.

The process of deriving the MD model from HD is different for closed and open templates.

For closed tables (i.e. tables with all rows and columns identified and named), the derivation process is determined by the placement of the HD metric either on rows, columns or as the table multiplication (z-axis) property. MD metrics are derived by combination of the HD metric and some of the dimensional annotations. The decision on which annotations are combined is determined by their application in all closed tables of the model. By design it is not possible to include in a metric definition an annotation that is reflected in different sections (i.e. either rows, columns, or table multiplication z-axis properties) of a table (in other words, all properties of a metric must be always defined in a single section of a table). All dimensions that must not be included in the definition of MD metrics in closed tables are marked in the DPM Dictionary as "Dimension in MD closed"⁶.

Open tables (i.e. tables with unknown number of rows) include three types of columns:

- a) columns which are a part of a key for unique identification of each row (and are therefore modelled as typed or explicit dimensions)⁷,
- b) columns which are not part of a key and are modelled as dimensions,

⁶ Dimensions are marked as "Dimension in MD closed" when such dimension is used on a different section (row/columns/table multiplication) than a metric in at least one of the closed tables of the model. In such case the dimension cannot be merged in the MD metric definition. This helps to avoid situations of the same data point being defined differently in the MD model (i.e. using two different MD metrics).

⁷ In some cases, particularly when multiple columns contribute to a key (resulting in a so-called composite natural key), the DPM may include an additional property that should serve solely as a unique key (also known as an artificial key). This property is represented by a typed dimension, whose domain is a set of identifiers for rows defined by each filer in the submitted report. c) columns that resemble data points to be reported for each row (annotation of these columns include identification of metrics).

Columns which can be part of the key (a) or are not part of a key but are modelled as dimensions (b) are resembled in the same way in the MD version as they are in the HD version. Columns that resemble data points (c) are in MD version described as a single metric that combines information from the HD metric and all HD dimensional properties. Note that in this case the "Dimension in MD closed" property is not applicable for exclusion of certain dimensions from being included in the MD metric definition as it is very important for the XBRL file size and processing performance that all facts in a row have the same dimensional description (identified by the dimensions which are part of a key).

As a result the same data point appearing in an open and closed table of the model may be theoretically defined in a different manner in the MD approach (using a different metric that in case of open table includes some dimensional annotation in its definition while in a close table this annotation is defined separately to the metric).

In general annotations which identify a default member for a dimension should not be present in the Annotate Templates. If such case occurs that annotation would never be included in the MD metric definition.

MD metric labels are derived from the HD model by concatenating the HD metric label and those HD dimension-member pairs that are included in MD metric definition (as explained in derivation process above). These dimension-member pairs are ordered according to an algorithm (sorted alphabetically by domain code, dimension code and member label) to ensure consistency, and are separated by pipe characters ("|"). As a result, labels of MD metrics follow the general pattern:

Metric: {label of HD metric}|{dimension code}/{label of domain member}|{dimension code}/{label of domain member}|...

For example:

Metric: Monetary|TA/Maximum value|VG/Solvency II|BC/Loss|CC/Facultative

Please note that technical XBRL representation of the Solvency II, Pension Funds and Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID and PR framework components and reporting in XBRL format is made only in the MD version of the model; the HD version is defined for reference purposes only.

IV.3 Structure of the Solvency II, Pension Funds, PEPP KID and PEPP PR DPM

There is no single predefined format for representation of the DPM. The ones commonly used is an Excel workbook (in this format the DPM is usually created and edited)⁸, a database (used for maintenance and quality/consistency checks) and an XBRL taxonomy (applied for reporting in XBRL). EIOPA applies all these three formats. The latter two are IT artefacts explained in separate documentations. This document focuses on description of an Excel format where the business users define the DPM.

As described in the section III of this document, a DPM consists of Dictionary and Framework. The latter can be organized for instance in a form of an Analysis Matrix, as in case of the EBA, or as Annotated Templates in case of EIOPA. Annotated Templates have several advantages over the Analysis Matrix:

- they are close to the Business Templates,
- each table is modelled at once (not by row/columns/table multiplication approach),
- it is possible to identify crossed-out cells in a single view.

The original disadvantage of the Annotated Templates was high flexibility of its structure which made it complex to develop an automated process of XBRL taxonomy development. This obstacle has been overcome in the current DPM Annotated Templates by applying named ranges and cell styles.

In order to help to trace differences in DPM Dictionary and Annotated Templates following colour convention was used:

New information. For example new entry point, template or table column. Information changed (other than label). For example templates affected by change in modelling, remodeling of particular column or row of existing table. Deleted information. For example removed annotation. Label change (not affected template modelling).

⁸ Excel format is commonly known to the business experts developing the model and open source or inexpensive commercial tools allow editing and reviewing of its content.

IV.3.1 EIOPA DPM Dictionary

EIOPA DPM Dictionary is defined in the form of an MS Excel workbook and contains the definition of the Solvency II, Pension Funds, Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID and PR regimes. It consists of numerous worksheets as described below and presented on screenshot on Figure 5:

- worksheet listing all owners together with their codes9,
- worksheet listing all domains together with their codes and types (explicit/typed),
- worksheet listing all dimensions together with their codes and reference to domains,
- two worksheets listing metrics, one for HD and one for MD version of the model; declaration of a metric includes identification of the constraint towards the reportable values to a specified type (e.g. monetary, string, etc.) or enumeration (by identification of the hierarchy and optionally also the starting member whose descendants – taking into account the usable property – form the list of allowed values to be reported),
- one worksheet for each explicit domain defining (among others):
 - unstructured list of all domain members (of which at least one is marked as a default member),

			Creation date * Validity date *			Herarchy		Mierarchy node label * Sig				Ca * Applicable sheets for dropdowns * Cr	eation date	Validity date
int reported	10	145 520	2014-07-07		6	1: Content	_			\$20	N/A	5.01.01 (201), SE.01.01 (201), SPV.01.01	2014-07-07	
leported	14	125	2014-07-07		119		*1	1 - Reported				a construction of the state of	2014-07-07	
int reported other reason	12	120	2014-07-07		114		×0	0 - Not reported (in this case special justification is needed)					2014-07-07	
Not reported o/a no derivative transactions	13	120	2014-07-07		4	2: Basic information		a ministration of the state state and states		120	N/A	5.01.01 (201), SE.01.01 (201), SPV.01.01	2014-07-07	
Not reported o/a no life and health SLT business	14	\$20	2014-07-07		6		11	1 - Reported			-		2014-07-07	
Not reported o/a materiality threshold	15	120	2014-07-07			3: Assets and labilities by currency	~	2 - Reported		120	N/A		2014-07-07	
Not reported o/a no non-life business	10	120	2014-07-07		-	Reported	*1	Reported		345	N/A		2014-07-07	
Not reported o/a composite undertaking	17	\$20	2014-07-07		1	Not reported p/a below threshold iof non-home currency total being <1		Not reported o/a below threshold (of non-home currency total being <		a b Tarland			2014-07-07	
Not reported o/a composite undertaking	10	\$20	2014-07-07				12	Not reported one below threshold (or non-nome converty total being < Not reported other reason	10% or assets and	abiloss)			2014-07-07	
							14	Not reported other reason						
Not reported o/a below threshold (of non-home currency		\$20	2014-07-07		4	4: Open derivatives				\$20	N/A		2014-07-07	2015-09-
Not reported o/a full use of Standard Pormula	x10	\$20	2014-07-07				*1	Reported					2014-07-07	
Not reported o/a use full internal model	#11	\$20	2014-07-07		16		13	Not reported o/a no derivative transactions					2014-07-07	
Not reported o/a use partial internal model	*12	\$2¢	2014-07-07		14		×2	Not reported other reason					2014-07-07	
Not reported as risk not existent	x13	52c	2014-07-07	2015-09-30	5	5: Life and Health SLT Technical Provisions (Preparatory scope)				52c	N/A		2014-07-07	2015-09-
Not reported o/a no non-(re)insurance business in the sco	154	s2c	2014-07-07		1		*1	Reported					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no RFF or MAP	x15	\$2C	2015-09-30		4		34	Not reported o/a no life and health SLT business					2014-07-07	
Not reported as refers to MAP fund	x16	\$2c	2015-09-30		2	Not reported o/a materiality threshold	x5	Not reported o/a materiality threshold					2014-07-07	
Not reported as method 2 is used exclusively	x17	\$2¢	2015-09-30		14	Not reported other reason	x2	Not reported other reason					2014-07-07	
Not due in accordance with instructions of the template	x19	\$2C	2015-09-30		16	6: Non-Life Technical Provisions (Preparatory scope)				\$2C	N/A		2014-07-07	2015-09-
Not reported as no off-balance sheet items	x20	\$20	2015-09-30		1	Reported	81	Reported					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no Collective investment undertakings	x21	\$20	2015-09-50		7		10	Not reported o/a no non-life business					2014-07-07	
Exempted under Article 35 (6) to (8)	122	\$20	2015-09-50		24		15	Not reported o/a materiality threshold					2014-07-07	
Not due annually as reported for Quarter 4	123	\$20	2015-09-30	2017-07-15	14		12	Not reported other reason					2014-07-07	
Not due as 5.05.02 and 5.03.01 reported quarterly	125	\$20	2015-09-50	2017-07-15	1	7: Solvency Capital Requirement - SF (Preparatory scope)	~			\$20	N/A		2014-07-07	2015-09-
Not due as 5.05.02 and 5.03.01 reported annually	125	120	2015-09-30	2017-07-15			*1	Reported		145	N/A		2014-07-07	2013-04-
				2027-07-23									2014-07-07	
Not reported as no unlimited guarantees received	x27 x28	\$2¢	2015-09-30 2015-09-30		3		*11	Not reported o/a use full internal model					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no unlimited guarantees provided					1	Not reported other reason	×2	Not reported other reason						
Not reported as no activity outside the home country	x29	s2c	2015-09-30		1	8: Solvency Capital Requirement - PIM (Preparatory scope)				\$2C	N/A	5.01.01 (201), SR.01.01 (201), SE.01.01 (2014-07-07	
Not reported as no activity outside the home country in re		\$2¢	2015-09-30		1		82	1 - Reported					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no structured products	x31	\$2C	2015-09-30		6		x11	9 - Not reported as use of full internal model					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no Securities lending and repos	x32	\$2¢	2015-09-30		4	Not reported o/a full use of Standard Formula	×10	10 - Not reported as use of standard formula					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no Assets held as collateral	x33	\$20	2015-09-50		3		x2	0 - Not reported other reason (in this case special justification is needed	٥)				2014-07-07	
Not reported as no variable annuities	154	\$20	2015-09-30		1	9: Solvency Capital Requirement - IM (Preparatory scope)				\$2C	N/A	5.01.01 (201), SR.01.01 (201), SE.01.01 (2014-07-07	
Not reported as no annuities stemming from Non-Life Ins	135	\$20	2015-09-30		1	Reported	×2	1 - Reported					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no LTG measures or transitional are appli	136	\$20	2015-09-50		1	Not reported o/a use partial internal model	x12	8 - Not reported as use of partial internal model					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no MA is applied	x57	\$2c	2015-09-50		2	Not reported o/a full use of Standard Formula	×10	10 - Not reported as use of standard formula					2014-07-07	
Not reported as refers to RFF or remaining part	+38	\$20	2015-09-50		1	Not reported other reason	x2	0 - Not reported other reason (in this case special justification is needed	d)				2014-07-07	
Not reported as no such transitional measure is applied	139	\$20	2015-09-50		1	10: Solvency Capital Requirement (Preparatory scope)				\$20	N/A		2014-07-07	2015-09-
Not reported as no participations held	x40	120	2015-09-30		1		*1	Reported					2014-07-07	
Not reported as reported at RFF/MAP level	841	120	2015-09-30				×11	Not reported o/a use full internal model					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no simplified calculations used	142	\$20	2015-09-30		2	Not reported as risk not existent	*13	Not reported as risk not existent					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no facultative covers	843	\$20	2015-09-30		1		x2	Not reported other reason					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no reinsurance	140	\$20	2015-09-30			11: Minimum Capital Requirement	~			\$20	N/A	5.01.01 (201), SE.01.01 (201)	2014-07-07	
Not reported as no Special Purpose Insurance Vehicles	145	120	2015-09-30		-		*1	1 - Reported			N/A	2.04.04 [a04], 20.01.01 [201]	2014-07-07	
Not reported as no special Purpose Insurance Venicles Not reported as no IGT on Equity-type transactions, debt		\$20	2015-09-30		2		37	2 - Not reported o la composite undertaking					2014-07-07	
					4									
Not reported as no parent undertaking is a mixed-activity		\$20	2015-09-50		4		×2	0 - Not reported other reason					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no IGT on Derivatives	+45	\$20	2015-09-50		2	12: Minimum Capital Requirement - Composite				\$20	N/A	5.01.01 (201), 58.01.01 (201)	2014-07-07	
Not reported as no IGT on Internal reinsurance	x49	\$20	2015-09-50		2		82	Reported					2014-07-07	
Not reported as no IGT on Cost Sharing, contingent liabilit		\$20	2015-09-50		2		×8	Not reported o/a non-composite undertaking					2014-07-07	
Not due in accordance with threshold decided by group su		s2c	2015-09-50		1		×2	Not reported other reason					2014-07-07	
Full recalculation	152	#2c	2015-09-30 2013-12-31	1	1	13: Non-(re)insurance individual requirements				\$2c	N/A	5.01.01 (201)	2014-07-07	
Simplification at risk sub-module level	x53	\$2¢	2015-09-30 2013-12-31	1	1	Reported	*1	1 - Reported					2014-07-07	
Simplification at risk module level	154	\$2c	2015-09-30 2013-12-31	1	1	Not reported o/a no non-(re)insurance business in the scope of the grou	x14	2 - Not reported as no non-(re)insurance business in the scope of the g	roup				2014-07-07	
No adjustment	155	\$2C	2015-09-30 2013-12-31	1	1	Not reported other reason	×2	0 - Not reported other reason (in this case special justification is needed	d)				2014-07-07	
Life company without pension entitlements	156	\$20	2015-09-30 2015-09-30		2	14: Basic information - RFF and matching adjustment portfolios (Full scop	oe individu			\$2C	N/A	5.01.01 (201), SE.01.01 (201)	2015-09-30	
Not reported in Q1 and Q3	157	\$20	2015-09-30		1		12	1 - Reported					2014-07-07	
Not reported as volatility adjustment not applied	158	\$20	2015-09-50		2	Not reported as no RFF or MAP	115	2 - Not reported as no RFF or MAP					2015-09-30	
Reported as standard formula is used	150	\$20	2015-09-50	2016-07-15			12	0 - Not reported other reason (in this case special justification is needed	0				2014-07-07	
Reported due to article 112 request	100	\$27	2015-09-50		10	15: Content		a construction of the second	-1	\$20	N/A	5.01.01 (201). SE 01.01 (201)	2015-09-50	
	100		2015-09-50			13: Content							2015-09-50	

 \circ relationships between domain members (arithmetical if possible).



⁹ As explained in section III.1 of this document Owner is an authority who defines the concepts in the dictionary and is responsible for their maintenance.

Dimensions in the DPM are used not only to reflect typical breakdowns (i.e. "Currencies", "Lines of business") but certain notion of data points (e.g. "Consolidation scope") or expression of temporal characteristics ("Instant or duration").

All concepts in dictionary are described with information helpful for maintenance and versioning:

creation date,

 validity date is the last reference date for which the concept is used in Annotated Templates¹⁰,

¹⁰ For instance in 2.8.0 release validity dates are specified as follows:

- 2013-12-31 for concepts not used in 1.5.2.c release nor in 2.0.1 release of Annotated Templates. Validity date can be earlier than creation date for concepts that were never used in production releases,

- 2015-09-30 for concepts used in 1.5.2.c release but not in 2.0.1 release of Annotated Templates,

- 2016-07-15 for concepts used in 2.0.1 release but not in 2.1.0 release of Annotated Templates,

- 2017-07-15 for concepts used in 2.1.0 release but not in 2.2.0 release of Annotated Templates,

- 2018-07-15 for concepts used in 2.2.0 release but not in 2.3.0 release of Annotated Templates,

- 2019-07-15 for concepts used in 2.3.0 release but not in 2.4.0 release of Annotated Templates,

- 2020-07-15 for concepts used in 2.4.0 release but not in 2.5.0 release of Annotated Templates,

- 2021-07-15 for concepts used in 2.5.0 release but not in 2.6.0 release of Annotated Templates,

- 2021-08-06 for concepts used in 2.6.0 release but not in 2.6.1 release of Annotated Templates,

- last modified date (i.e. date of last upgrade to the label).

IV.3.1.1 Domains worksheet

Domains worksheet (Figure 6) contains among others information about domains code/name, label (in English), domain type (primary, explicit or typed) and owner. Primary domain type is used for metrics. Data type is identified for typed domains.

 Domain code/name 	 Domain lab 	el		Domain typ	Owner 🔻	Prefix	Namespac	Locatic •	Datatyr 🔻	Creation date	v Va	lidity date	▼ Last mod	Comment
1 met	Metrics			primary	s2c					2014-	07-07			
2 BC	Basic conce	epts		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
3 MC	Main categ	ories		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
4 AM	Amount typ	es		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
5 VM	Valuation m	nethods		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
6 DI	Instant or d	uration		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
7 TR	Treatment of	of risk mitigat	tion	explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
8 TB	Type of bus	inesses		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
9 PU	Purposes of	f assets/portf	olio	explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
1 SE	Sectors			explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
3 CG	Collaterals/	/Guarantees		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
4 LB	Lines of bus	sinesses		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
5 EL	Eligibility			explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
.6 CS	Consolidati	on scopes		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
7 CM	Controlling	or minority in	nterests	explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
.8 CU	Currencies			explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
9 GA	Geographic	al areas		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
O PI	Percentage	intervals		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
1 TS	Types of str	ring		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
2 LT	Types of tri	gger		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
3 TD	Types of da			explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
4 NT	Types of nu	ımber		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
5 BR	Brackets			explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
6 PP	Types of pe	rcentage		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
7 DC	Types of de	cimal		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
8 CE	Types of inc	come stateme	ent concepts	explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
9 TI	Time interv	als		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
IO RT	Risk types			explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
1 SC	Status of cla	aim		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
2 PC	Product cha	aracteristics		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
3 EX	Exposure ty	rpes		explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
4 AP	Approaches			explicit	s2c					2014-	07-07			
15 ID	Codes			typed	s2c				string	2014-	07-07			
6 NA	Names			typed	s2c				string	2014-	07-07			
7 NB	Integer num	nbers		typed	s2c				string	2014-	07-07		2017-07-1	5
18 ER	Ratings			typed	s2c				string	2014-	07-07			
9 RA	Agencies			typed	s2c				string	2014-	07-07			
IO TY	Types			typed	s2c				string	2014-	07-07			
Versioning		Domains	Dimensions	met HD	met MD	AM	AO AP	BC B		CA CE	CG	CM CN	CS CU	DC DI

Figure 6. Structure of domains worksheet in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

IV.3.1.2 Dimensions worksheet

Dimensions worksheet (Figure 7) contains among others information about its code/name, label (in English), applicable domain code, owner, dimension in MD closed information.

- 2022-07-15 for concepts used in 2.6.0 and 2.6.1 release but not in 2.7.0 release of Annotated Templates,

- 2023-07-15 for concepts used in 2.7.0 but not in 2.8.0 release of Annotated Templates.

Applicable domain code identifies the domain that each dimension relates to. There must be one and only one applicable domain identified for each dimension but at the same time more than one dimension can be applicable for a single domain.

"Yes" in "dimension in MD Closed" column identifies those dimensions that can't be included into MD metrics applicable in closed tables (see: IV.2).




Figure 7. Structure of dimensions worksheet in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

IV.3.1.3 Metrics worksheet

There are two worksheets dedicated to metrics: met HD and met MD (Figure 8). The structure of those worksheets is the same. Both contain among others information about labels (in English), names, owners, data types, domains, hierarchies, and period types¹¹. MD metrics labels are derived from HD components according to procedure described in section IV.2.

Domain information is applicable only to enum:enumerationItemType metrics. Two additional columns are referenced in those cases:

• Hierarchy – identifying a relationship set of domain members that are potential value of a metric. The set can be of nested structure,

¹¹ All EIOPA DPM metrics are of instant period type. DI domain is used to specify period type attribute.

 Member (optional) - it identifies in case of nested relationship sets starting nodes that are excluded from the set of selected values (i.e. if it is "Total/NA" then it means that children of "Total/NA" are available values, but "Total/NA" is not).

	ame =	Owner	 Deta type 	* Domain *	Herarchy	 Member 	 Period typ. 	Creation d *	Validity da -	Last modil
	10	s2md	xbrR datemenType				instant	2014-07-07	2015-12-51	4
Netric: Date PO/Other than ring fenced funds I TD/Date of formal approval of partial internal model di	11	s2md	xbri: date Item Type				instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Metric: Date (PO/Ring Fenced Funds) TD/Date of formal approval of internal model di	12	s2md	xbri: date item Type				instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Metric: Date PO/Ring Fenced Funds TD/Date of formal approval of partial internal model di	13	s2md	xbri:dateitemType				Instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Metric: Date II/Full Internal model YD/Date of formal approval of internal model di	14	s2md	xbril:dateitemType				instant	2014-07-07	2015-09-30	٥
Netric: Date i II/Partial internal model I TD/Date of formal approval of partial internal model	15	s2md	xbrF:dateItemType				instant	2014-07-07	2015-09-30	0
Netric: Decimal 6:/Lability Dc/Residual modified duration	16	s2md	xbri:decimalitemType				Instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Netric: Decimal DC/Age of beneficiaries years old) p	17	s2md	xbri:decimalitemType				instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Netric: Decimal (DC/Tesidual modified duration (old)	18	s2md	xbri: decimalitemType				instant	2014-07-07	2015-09-30	o
Metric: Integer/NT/Number of obligations	.9	s2md	xbricintegeritemType				instant	2014-07-07		2015-09-3
Metric: Integer III/Standard formula INT/Number of claims IPO/Other than ring fenced funds	10	s2md	xbrit integeritem Type				instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	1
Netric: Integer I IU/Standard formula I NT/Number of claims I PO/Ring Fenced Funds	1	s2md	xbrit integeritem Type				Instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Metric: Integer [II/Standard formula NT/Number of policyholders PO/Other than ring fenced funds II	2	s2md	xbritintegeritemType				Instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Netric: Integer [11/Standard formula] NT/Number of policyholders PG/Ring Fenced Funds	5	s2md	xbritintegentemType				instant	2014-07-07	2015-12-51	4
Netric: Integer [11/Standard formula NT/Number of vehicles policy limit above 241/KC PO/Other than ring fenced funds	4	s2md	xbricintegeritemType				instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Netric: Integer (II/Standard formula NT/Number of vehicles policy limit above 2404C PO/Ring Fenced Funds	5	s2md	xbricintegeritemType				instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Netric: Integer (II/Standard formula NT/Number of vehicles policy limit below or equal to 24NE (PO/Other than ring fenced funds	6	s2md	xbricintegeritemType				Instant	2014-07-07	2013-12-31	4
Metric: Integer III/Standard formula INT/Number of vehicles policy limit below or equal to 24ME (PO/Ring Penced Funds		s2md	xbrit integeritem Type				Instant	2014-07-07		
Netric: integer (II/Standard formula NT/Number of people insured PO/Other than ring fenced funds		s2md	xbrit integeritem Type				Instant		2013-12-31	
Netric: integer III/Standard formula INT/Number of paople insured PD/Ring Penced Funds		s2md	xbrit integeritem Type				instant		2015-12-31	
Metric: Integer NT/Trequency of claims		szend	xbrillintegentemType				instant		2013-12-31	
Metric: Integer NT/Number of claims I CN/Newly reported I SD/Open		s2md	xbrit integeritem Type				instant	2014-07-07		
Metric: Integer NT/Number of Caims (CV/Newly reported SQ/Settled with payment)		s2md	xbricintegenitemType				instant	2014-07-07		
Metric integer (N/Number of claims) (CN/Nexty reported (SU/Settled (without survent))		s2md	xbritintegeritemType				Instant	2014-07-07		
Netric: integer N1/Number of claims (50)/Open and settied [with payment]		s2md	xbrillintegeritemType				Instant	2014-07-07		2015-09-3
Here in the first Number of Carries and Open and access (non-perment)		samd	xbrit integeritem Type				instant	2014-07-07		
Metric integer (NT/Number of claims) Ch/Septemed (SD/Settide (with payment)		samd	xbritintegentemType				instant	2014-07-07		
Metric Integrating of Carriers of Carriers 150 (Open To Carriers of Carriers 150)		s2md	xbritintegentemType				instant	2014-07-07		
were unsger unzwere er usens jaar open au open an open en e		s2md	xbricintegentemType				instant	2014-07-07		
webs, integr (n/webs) of claims (and come) au access (mon payment) Meric: Integr (n/webs) of claims (Subcess) (Subcets) (Noted asyment) Meric: Integr (n/subcets) (Subcets) (Noted asyment)		s2md	xbricintegeritemType				instant	2014-07-07		
Aven::: integr / v//wimot of calms jau lopen jau sette (without payment) Ment:: integr / v//wimot of calms jau lopen jau sette (without payment) Ment:: integr / JL/daim provident lotter than used (JAAP sector(1) Wi/Number of Helds		s2md	xpri:integentemType				instant	2014-07-07		
Netric: Integer (u) calm provisions (premi than local GAAP spectra) in // Neurolar of Helas Integer (u) calm (u		s2md	xpri:integeritemType				instant	2014-07-07		
Netric Integer (2) Yearning porsions pore then occursely and Annual States (1) International International States (1) International Internationa International International Internation		s2md					instant	2014-07-07		
	45	\$2md	xbrillintegeritemType				instant	2014-07-07		
	43		xbril:monetary/temType							
		s2md	xbricmonetaryItemType				instant	2014-07-07		
	45		xbricmonetary itemType				instant	2014-07-07		
	46	s2md	xbricmonetary itemType				instant	2014-07-07		
	(47	s2md	xbritmonetary/temType				instant		2013-12-31	
Metric: Monetary [D0/Discounted[VP/Puture discretionary benefits]8C/Lability [L4/Gross technical provisions [other than local GAAP specific] [P0/Other than ring fenced funds [IT/After risk mitigation effect other than from finite reinsuran m		s2md	xbritmonetary/temType				instant		2013-12-31	
	49	s2md	xbril:monetary/temType				instant		2015-12-31	
	50	s2md	xbritmonetary/temType				instant		2015-12-51	
	51	s2md	xbricmonetary/temType				instant		2013-12-31	
Metric: Monetary [DD/Discounted] VP/Future discretionary benefits [BC/Lability LB/Gross technical provisions [other than local GAAP specific] SD/Insurance/reinsurance sector IT/After risk mitigation effect other than from finite reinsurar m		s2md	xbricmonetaryitemType				instant	2014-07-07		
	53	s2md	xbri:monetaryitemType				Instant		2013-12-31	4
	654	s2md	xbril:monetary/temType				Instant	2014-07-07		
	55	s2md	xbrit:monetary/temType				Instant	2014-07-07		
	56	s2md	xbri:monetary/temType				instant	2014-07-07		
	67	s2md	xbricmonetary/temType				instant	2014-07-07		
	58	s2md	xbritmonetaryitemType				instant	2014-07-07		
	59	s2md	xbri:monetaryitemType				instant	2014-07-07		
	60	s2md	xbrit:monetary/temType				Instant	2014-07-07		
	61	s2md	xbritmonetary/temType				Instant	2014-07-07		
	62	s2md	xbritmonetary/temType				instant	2014-07-07		
	63	s2md	xbritmonetary/temType				instant	2014-07-07		
	64	s2md	xbricmonetary/temType				instant	2014-07-07		
Metric: Monetary DD/Discounted BC/Liability LB/Claim provisions [other than local GAAP specific] (CC/Ceded m	65	s2md	xbri:monetary/temType				instant	2014-07-07		
Netric: Monetary DD/Discounted BC/Liability LB/Claim provisions other than local GAAP specific CC/Not ceded m	66	s2md	xbritmonetary itemType				instant	2014-07-07		
Metric: Monetary [DD/Discounted] BC/Reported but not settled claims [RBNS] mm	67	s2md	xbrit monetary item Type				Instant	2014-07-07		
	68	s2md	xbril:monetary/temType				instant	2014-07-07		

Figure 8. Structure of metrics worksheet in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

IV.3.1.4 Domain worksheet

Domain worksheets (Figure 9) contain two sections of information:

- unstructured list of elements, including its label (in English), name and owner. This section is also used to identify a default member ("Yes" in "Default" column) and to count, how many times each domain member is being referenced from relationship sets section ("Count" column),
- information describing the relationship sets that are defined between domain members¹².

Each relationship set is described by its number and label (i.e. "2: Tiers"). Domain members used in those relationship sets are organized in hierarchical structures (represented in column "Hierarchy"). There can be arithmetical relationship between domain members in a hierarchy described using "Sign" and "Weight" columns. If a hierarchy is referenced by a metric, then usable attribute (in "Usable" column) can be

¹² This section is reflected also for metrics but in fact is not used there at the moment.

used to identify those domain members that can't be chosen as potential value of this particular metric¹³.

For each relationship set an owner is identified, as well as applicable dimension code. If a relationship set is referenced exclusively by a metric (not dimension) then N/A is specified (for technical reasons).




Figure 9. Structure of domain worksheet in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

Hierarchy node label provides labels that should be used when particular hierarchy is referenced as a dropdown list.

IV.3.2 EIOPA Annotated Templates

The Solvency II, Pension Funds, Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID and PR Annotated Templates reflect DPM framework (see section III.2). They provide a mapping between the Reporting Templates and DPM dictionary.

The Annotated Templates contain the HD model only and enough information to derive the MD from it (see section IV.2). This means that the Annotated Templates do not have to duplicate information (which must be kept in sync between the two models) causing a maintenance burden and a risk of errors.

Annotated Templates are defined in the form of an Excel workbook containing a number of worksheets. In general one worksheet describes one Business Template (however more than one graphical table may be annotated in one worksheet).

¹³ This mechanism is used for example for NACE codes when it was beneficial to reflect entire structure of those codes including those, that can't be reported according to Solvency II rules. Those cases are identified with "no" in "Usable" column.

DPM qualifiers used in annotation represent the codes or labels of concepts defined in the dictionary. They may be associated with each row, column, and entire table (if applicable). Details explaining the DPM qualifiers are described in the next sections of this chapter.

IV.3.2.1 Organization of Annotated Templates


Organization of Annotated Templates follows the business requirements, e.g. Implementing Technical Standard (ITS). The general assumption is to assign the same template code when a template is used, without any changes, across different variants and entry points (modules). For example, S.02.02 is the same for solo and group variants, therefore in the Annotate Templates codification there is one template S.02.02.01 used in two entry points (01 and 04).

Table codes in Annotated Templates use the predefined structure {*AA.XX.YY.ZZ.WW*} comprising the following elements:

- *AA*: an alphanumeric code for the global reporting package. For Solvency II reporting it is either regular *S* (for regular Solvency II) or *SR* (for ring-fenced funds). For the Pension Funds the dedicated code is PF. For the Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID the dedicated code is PEP, while for PEPP PR it is PP. Other frameworks like the Solvency II ECB add-ons, Pension Funds ECB add-ons or Special Purpose Vehicles (SPVs) have different prefixes:
 - SE for the Solvency II templates extended to meet the ECB add-on reporting requirements,
 - E for the ECB add-on specific templates added on top of the Solvency II reporting requirements,
 - SPV for the Special Purpose Vehicles specific templates,
 - PFE for the Pension Funds templates extended to meet the ECB add-on reporting requirements,
 - EP for the ECB add-on specific templates added on top of the Pension Funds reporting requirements,
 - T, PT, PET for Technical Tables which are not part of a specific business regulation (applicable to Solvency II, Pension Finds and PEPP KID and PR frameworks respectively)¹⁴.

¹⁴ Table with this prefix was introduced to address potential mistakes in the DPM resulting in missing datapoint containers to report necessary information.

- XX: a numeric code for the templates group, for example 01 (for Basic Information), 02 (for Balance Sheet), etc.,
- *YY*: a numeric code for the specific template (sequential code kept stable over time),
- *ZZ*: two digits assigned to an entry point (reporting obligation) which can be reused by other entry points (with a higher number) if the template is the same¹⁵ as presented on Figure 10.
 - the annual individual templates are considered the "default" one for the Solvency II package (as it is the largest package) and has code "01"¹⁶;
 - for other Solvency II entry points it is assessed if the template with code "01" can be reused; if not, the template is assigned a sequential code: "02"; subsequent entry points may reuse template "01" or "02" if they are identical; if not the template is assigned another sequential code "04" and so on (see example for S.01.03 in Figure 10),
- WW: table number within an Annotated Template (Excel Worksheet); it is related to the XBRL taxonomy implementation; EIOPA has made a commitment to keep the code stable as long as there are no business changes to the particular table requirements (if there are substantial modifications, a new table with a new code will be assigned and the previous table will become obsolete or will be replaced)¹⁷.



¹⁵ A similar approach was used for this code in the IT implementation of the codification for the Solvency II Preparatory Phase.

 16 The default variant for the Pension funds templates is .24, .33 for PEPP PR and .34 for PEPP KID.

¹⁷ This helps, for example, during an IT mapping exercise to identify tables that need to be remapped because something has changed.

Figure 10. Organisation of Annotated Templates

IV.3.2.2 Annotation process

The process of annotating templates aims to associate the Reporting Templates with comprehensive, precise, and explicit descriptions of business characteristics relevant to all data cells.

The characteristics (breakdowns and their components) used to annotate the cells are documented in a comprehensive manner in the DPM Dictionary.

The annotation process consists of the following steps:

- 1. Business experts analyse a template row by row, column by column, including the header information (e.g. title of a template) and related documentation (in particular Business Logs).
- 2. In case of an open table (with unknown number of rows) the key columns are identified and annotated as typed or explicit dimensions. They serve as a key to each row. If among columns there is no candidate for the key, or the number of potential keys is high a new column serving as an artificial key is added to a table.
- 3. HD metric (primary characteristic) must be assigned to every data cell, either as a property of a table and hence applying to the entire content of a table (all cells in a table), or to all rows or columns,
- Remaining applicable business properties (pairs of dimension-members) are assigned to data cells similarly as in case of metrics, as a table header or for one or more rows/columns,
- Consistency of characteristics is verified and (optionally) DPM is updated for required but missing metrics or remaining business properties (dimensions, domains and members).

IV.3.2.3 Annotation convention

Annotation of Business Templates is conducted through assignment of metrics and other business properties (dimension-member pairs) to each identifiable data cell by their application to the entire table, its row or column (and hence to a data cell which is on the intersection). It is possible to apply multiple sets of characteristics to each data cell. Characteristics applicable to data cells are arranged graphically in either subsequent vertical columns (below each column of an annotated template) or horizontal rows (on the right-hand side of each row of an annotated template). Characteristics applicable to the entire table are described in a separate location on the worksheet (as a "Z axis:" property usually above the table). In case of semi open tables (i.e. which rows or columns are multiplied by a specified explicit dimension members hierarchy) the expandable rows or columns are annotated as "X axis:" or "Y axis:".




Figure 11. Example of an Annotated Template

An example illustrating how annotations have been applied to the templates is presented on Figure 11. As described above, annotations have been applied to columns, rows or the whole tables ("Z axis:").

Annotations may refer to:

- metrics in which case the metric label is prefixed with "Metric:", i.e. "Metric:Monetary",
- dimension-member pairs for explicit dimensions according to the pattern {dimension code}/{label of domain member}, i.e. "II/Partial internal model",
- set of explicit dimension-member pairs following the pattern {dimension code}/"All members" with identification of a subdomain that defines applicable domain members,
- typed dimensions annotated as {dimension code}:{label of dimension}, i.e. "NF: Number of fund".

Note that when there are multiple variants of a template which differ only by the "Z axis:" property, they can be combined onto one sheet using multiple "Z axis:" sections.

For open tables, the columns and z-axes which, if reported, uniquely identify the row are annotated with identification of the type of key:

- *natural key* if a column is provided by Business templates and is required to uniquely identify the row,
- *artificial key*, when a column was introduced to Annotated templates in addition to a number of potential *natural keys* to replace them in a 'key' function (i.e. 'XF: S.10.01.zz.01 line identification', where 'XF' is a code of typed dimension; 'zz' specifies that the line identification code is attributable to each variant of particular table),
- *foreign key* to identify the relation between tables that were normalized (i.e.
 foreign key to S.06.02.01.02). In a table where information is classified as
 foreign key such information can be reported multiple times. In a table where the foreign key refers to (S.06.02.01.02 in provided example) information can be reported just once.

Additional information provided for columns of open tables modelled with typed or explicit dimensions is if those columns are "mandatory" or "optional"¹⁸. Information in "mandatory" column is expected to be provided for each row when the table is reported. Information in "optional" columns doesn't have to be provided for all rows and detailed scenarios are explained in the legal documentation.

Blue font identifies the HD annotation that is replaced by the MD metric (for each row, column or table "Z axis:" property. Black font identifies dimensional annotation applicable to both MD and HD approaches.

Th	Four laws the second	F
Item	Explanation	Example
AA.XX.YY.ZZ	The IT code given to the specific table.	S.01.02.01
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN	The IT code given to the specific sub-table.	S.01.02.01.01
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.TD	Covers rectangular area enclosing the data cells.	S.01.02.01.01.TD
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.TL	Concerns the business labels, located on the far-left side of a table.	S.01.02.01.01.TL
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.TL C	The business labels codes, located on the right side of the business labels .TL column.	S.01.02.01.01.TLC
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.TT	The business labels on the top of a table.	S.01.02.01.01.TT
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.TT C	The business labels codes, located below of the business labels .TT row.	S.01.02.01.01.TTC
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.TC	The caption of the table.	S.01.02.01.01.TC

IV.3.2.4 Named ranges and cell styles

Table 2. Examples of named ranges

¹⁸ The Annotated Templates do not provide for now any information if columns modelled as MD metric are "mandatory" or "optional".

AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.TK	The line of identification labels for the table.	S.01.02.01.01.TK
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.TK	Codes for the line of the identification labels.	S.01.02.01.01.TKC
С		
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.X	X axis annotations produced by the DPM analysis.	S.01.02.01.01.X
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.Y	Y axis annotations produced by the DPM analysis. In	S.01.02.01.01.Y
	case of open table create a unique key of the row.	
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.Z	Z axis annotations produced by the DPM analysis.	S.01.02.01.01.Z
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.XA	The second X axis	S.01.02.01.01.XAX
Х		
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.YA	The second Y axis	S.01.02.01.01.YAX
Х		
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.ZH	The second Z axis	S.01.02.01.01.ZHI
I		
AA.XX.YY.ZZ.NN.YH	Part of the key in the open table, which used a	S.01.02.01.01.YHI
I	dropdown list.	

To allow the automated process of parsing of the Annotated Templates to a structured format (database, XBRL, etc.), each template and table is described using MS Excel named ranges and (if applicable) cell styles. Examples and explanation of some named ranges is provided in Table 2. Content of each table (identified as `.TD' named range) is described with one of two cell styles:

- 'DPM_EmptyCell' for not reportable cells,
- 'DPM_CellCode' for reportable cell.

Location of named ranges for different use cases is presented in Figure 12.

C/All members information of he average techni- he average duration	insurance	es es codent codent codes code	Lisentification code of reinsurer CODES E6 23: Identification code of	R0010 R0020 R0030 Name of callers C0020 C010 Metric String Textstochey / Tearstee	C0010 H1 11 11 RM/N	TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average	[weighted] [weighted] N	Aetric: Decimal		ation of obliga
C/All members nformation of he average technic he average duration he average duration he average duration he weighted average 36.01.01 GT - Internal Rei S6.01.01 GT - Internal Rei Construction transaction construction	cal rate on of the obligations age age of the beneficiarie insurance insurance	es E	Lidentification code of reinsurer 00060	80010 80020 80050 80050 Name of callers 60020 80	CODIO H1 12 31 RM/N Name of reinsurer CODEO P6	TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average Color Ta/Average	[veighted] [veighted] N [veighted] N [veighted] N Validity period faculty data] 00090 04	Currecy of Contract/testy Contract/tes	Type of relinsurance contract/treaty 0030 004 00510 004 00510 004 00510 004	Maximum cove relationer of obligations relationer one contract/two 0020 23
CAR members formation of he average technic he average duration he	cal rate on of the obligations age age of the beneficiarie insurance	es E	Lidentification code of reinsurer 00060	R0010 R0020 R0030 Name of cadent C0020	COOSO	TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average	[weighted] [weighted] N [weighted] N [weighted] N Validity period langing data] 00090	Aetric: Decimal I Aetric: Decimal I Aetric: Decimal I Contency of contract/basty Cost	DC/Residual modified dur DC/Age of beneficiaries (yr Type of reinsurance contract/trainty 0330	Maximum civic contract/tree contract/tree
(All members formation o e average techni e average durati e weighted aver 603.01 T3 T - Internal Rei 603.01.01 T - Internal Rei 10 of intergroup	cal rate on of the obligations age age of the beneficiarie insurance	ES (Corrents 1	80010 80020 80050	C0010 H1 H1 RM/N	TA/Average TA/Average TA/Average	[weighted] [weighted] N [weighted] N	fetric: Decimal fetric: Decimal	DC/Residual modified dur DC/Age of beneficiaries (yr Type of reinsurance	Maximum cov
All members ormation o e average techni a verage durati e veighted aver usion 3 - Internal Rei 103.01.01	cal rate on of the obligations age age of the beneficiarie insurrance	[Currency	R0010 R0020	20040 00010 H1 11	TA/Average TA/Average	[weighted] [weighted] N	Aetric: Decimal	DC/Residual modified dur	ation of obliga
All members formation of a average technic a average duration average duration weighted avera weighted avera to solors (3) (- Internal Rei	cal rate on of the obligations age age of the beneficiarie	[Currency	R0010 R0020	20040 00010 H1 11	TA/Average TA/Average	[weighted] [weighted] N	Aetric: Decimal	DC/Residual modified dur	ation of obliga
All members ormation o average techni average durati weighted aver 103.01 (3)	cal rate on of the obligations age age of the beneficiarie	[Currency	R0010 R0020	20040 00010 H1 11	TA/Average TA/Average	[weighted] [weighted] N	Aetric: Decimal	DC/Residual modified dur	ation of obliga
All members ormation o average technic average durati weighted aver	cal rate on of the obligations age age of the beneficiarie	[Currency	R0010 R0020	20040 00010 H1 11	TA/Average TA/Average	[weighted] [weighted] N	Aetric: Decimal	DC/Residual modified dur	ation of obliga
All members ormation o average techni average durati	ical rate on of the obligations	[Currency	R0010 R0020	20040 00010 H1 11	TA/Average TA/Average	[weighted] [weighted] N	Aetric: Decimal	DC/Residual modified dur	ation of obliga
All members ormation o average techni	ical rate		Currency	R0010	20040 00010 H1	TA/Average	[weighted]			
All members ormation o			Currency		20040 C0010					
/All members	e vice N					CU	<u>L</u> 1			
						CU CU	11			
			Accident year / Unde	TWO DING YEEF		AN DESCRIPTION OF AN	1.8			
/All members /All members		1	The related non-life i		20010		30			
Annuities stemi /Solvency II	ming from non-life insurar	nce contracts								
Direct Business										
6.01.01.01 ds:										
- https://www.com										
the second s	n annuities stemm	ing from N	on-Life Insura	nce obligations	5					
6.01.01										
				and the second s						
tal value of Best her than home o	Estimate in countries ountry	R0040		Metric: B Monetary B		chnical provisions local GAAP specific	4			
			C0050							
			currencies							
			written in the							
			Part of the Best Estimate							
v country (o	ther than home co				orting currency)					
G/All members		Other than ho	me (00020	GA 18						
axis:		currency	R0010	CU_5						
	C	Other than repo	arting							
axis: C/All members					 .TD			лтс		
L/Best estimate axis:					Т. Т.			.п.с .п	.2	
L/Life and Health G/Solvency II L/Best estimate axis:					т.			.п.		
L/Other than hor L/Life and Health G/Solvency II L/Best estimate axis:						ZZ.NN		.Y		X

Figure 12. Location of named ranges for different use cases

V Particularities of the DPM technical implementation

The EIOPA DPM and XBRL Taxonomies should be as close as possible to Business templates and Business logs. However, some differences occurred due to technical restrictions coming from the particular technical implementation (DPM and XBRL) or in order to facilitate the reporting. This chapter aims to document the main differences, some of them may be also amended in the Business templates and Business logs in future.

V.1 Differences between Reporting Templates and Annotated Templates

V.1.1 Introduction of "*artificial keys*"

In case of each open table it is necessary to identify at least one column constituting unique key for a row. The preferred situation is when there is a column provided in Business templates and described in Business logs that could be used as *natural key*. However in some cases it is necessary to introduce *artificial key* column not present in Business templates¹⁹. In general there could be two situations like that:

- it is necessary due to table construction but potential candidate for *natural key" is not recommended from implementation perspective. For example "Description (...)" type of column, like C0010 defined in S.23.04 business templates, provides too much flexibility to be efficiently used as a unique key of a row,
- set of "natural keys" to uniquely identify a row would be very complex (i.e. S.06.02 business templates).

V.1.2 Using URIs, being combination of "code" and "type of code"

Information defined in Business templates separately for "code" (URN) and "type of code" (URL) could be merged in Annotated templates constituting "type of code"/"code" information (URI). Such an approach is used in EIOPA DPM for entity codes and instrument codes.²⁰ As a result column from Business templates representing "type of code" is not reflected in Annotated templates for those cases.

¹⁹ See IV.3.2.1 for details.

²⁰ See Filing rules, V.1 and V.2 for details.

V.1.3 Splitting templates

According to DPM methodology it is currently necessary to separate closed and open or semi-open parts of Business Templates. As a result it could be perceived as another difference between Business and Annotated templates. However it must be noted that splitting Business templates no new information is requested by Annotated templates (see Figure 13).




Figure 13. Example of Business Template that needs to be split in Annotated Templates for modelling reasons

V.1.4 Necessity to reorganize the columns in open tables

Organization of open tables in Annotated templates due to technical constrains must follow predefined order: (1) typed dimensions, (2) explicit dimensions and (3) MD metrics. Inside of each of three components of Annotated templates above order from Business templates is being followed however it can be perceived as another discrepancy between Business and Annotated templates (see Figure 14).

S.06.02.01 List of assets												
S.06.02.01.01 Z Axis: SU/Assets oth derivatives an as collateral		held										
Information o	n positio	ns										
	identification Asset ID Code and Type of code		oe of	Fund num		Matching portfolio number	Portfolio	Asset held in unit linked and index linked contracts	Asset pledged as collateral			
CO	001		0	0040		C0070		C0080	C0060	C0090	C0100	
*artificial key	*artificial key* "mandatory" *foreign key to S.06.02.01.02* "manda		itory"	"optional"		"optional"	Metric: Portfolio (investment, securities lending and repo)[210]	Metric: Held in unit linked and index linked funds	Metric: Asset pledged as collateral			
XA: S.06.0 identi	2.zz.01 lin fication	ne	UI: URI			NF: Number of fund		MP: Matching portfolio number				
Annex I S.06.02.01 List of assets Information on	positions	held										
Asset ID Code	Asset ID Code type	Portfoli	io Fund number	Matching portfolio number	Asset held in unit linked and index linked contracts	Asset pledged as collateral						
C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090	C0100						

Figure 14. Example of Business Template for which columns need to be reordered in Annotated Templates

V.1.5 Removing redundant and problematic information

"Legal name of undertaking" is potentially a shared datapoint between S.32.01 and S.35.01 Business templates. However information provided in S.35.01 Business template from this datapoint perspective is a subset of information to be reported in S.32.01 Business template. Modelling "Legal name of undertaking" column in both S.32.01 and S.35.01 Annotated templates would result in redundant rows in S.35.01 Annotated template that would be filled in only for "Legal name of undertakings" column. To solve the issue it was decided to remove column C0020 from S.35.01 Annotated template (see Figure 15). It should be noticed that this information is already provided for each code of undertaking in S.32.01 Annotated template.

S.35.01.04															
Contribution to group Technical Provisions															
S.35.01.04.01															
Contribution to group Technical Provisions															
Identification code and type of code of the undertaking	Method of group solvency calculation used	Total amount of TP		Technical Provisions - Non-Life (excluding Health)		Technical Provisions - Health (similar to non- life)		Technical Provisions - Health (similar to life)			Technical Provisions - Life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)				
		Amount of TP gross of IGT	Amount of TP net of IGT	Amount of TP gross of IGT	Amount of TP net of IGT	Net contribution to Group TP (%)	Amount of TP gross of IGT	Amount of TP net of IGT	Net contribution to Group TP (%)	Amount of TP gross of IGT	Amount of TP net of IGT	Net contribution to Group TP (%)	Amount of TP gross of IGT	Amount of TP net of IGT	Net contribution to Group TP (%)
C0020	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140	C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180
natural key "manda CE: Identification code	of entity	BC/Liability LB/Gross technical	BC/Liability	BC/Liability BL/Non-life	BC/Liability BL/Non-life	BL/Non-life PP/Contributio		BC/Liability BL/Health non	BL/Health non PP/Contributio	BC/Liability BL/Health SLT	BC/Liability BL/Health SLT	BL/Health SLT PP/Contributio	BC/Liability BL/Life and an	BC/Liability BL/Life and an	BL/Life [other PP/Contributio
		VG/Solvency II	VG/Solvency II		IG/Excluding in	IG/Excluding i	ntra-group tran	IG/Excluding in	IG/Excluding in	ntra-group tran	IG/Excluding i	IG/Excluding i	ntra-group tran	IG/Excluding in	IG/Excluding ir
					VV/Amount be VG/Solvency II		EVV/Amount be VG/Solvency II			VV/Amount be VG/Solvency II				VV/Amount be VG/Solvency II	fore transitiona

Annex I S.35.01.04 Contribution to group Technical Provisions

			Total amount of TP		Technical Provisions - Non-Life (excluding Health)			Technical Provisions - Health (similar to non-life)			
Legal name of each undertaking	Identification code of the undertaking	Type of code of the ID of the undertaking	Method of group solvency calculation used	Amount of TP gross of IGT	Amount of TP net of IGT	Amount of TP gross of IGT		Net contribution to Group TP (%)	Amount of TP gross of IGT		Net contribution to Group TP (%)
C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120

Figure 15. Example of Business Template and Annotated template where redundant information (C0010) was removed from annotated template

V.1.6 'Link' metric

According to DPM methodology each datapoint must include one and only one metric. As a result it is challenging to reflect a simple relation between two or more information modelled as typed dimension. As such challenge existed, for instance in the Solvency II reporting tables, EIOPA decided to solve it in the DPM by attaching a meaningless metric to set of typed dimensions if necessary. Such a metric is created based on Boolean data type where the only acceptable value is 'true' - to reflect the existence of mentioned relation (see: Figure 16).

S.14.01.01.04

Information on products and homogeneous risk groups

Product ID code	HRG code	Link
C0220	C0230	C0250
	*foreign key to	
natural key "mandatory"	S.14.01.01.03* *natural	Metric: Link
	key* "mandatory"	
IP: ID code of product	HX: ID code of HRG	

Figure 16. Example of application of Metric: Link. This case is not present in 2.8.0 EIOPA DPM/XBRL Taxonomy release.

V.1.7 Differences in columns meaning

In the template S.21.02, cell C0080 labelled as "Currency" is modelled as 'Original currency of exposure/transaction/instrument' to avoid a clerical error requesting the reporting currency that is provided already in the Basic information template.

V.1.8 Technical rows in Basic information templates

In order to minimize the risk of a necessity to publish a hotfix release, especially when identical data points were wrongly identified, set of three technical containers was added to the Basic information templates. Those containers, titled "Ad hoc XBRL technical field 1", "Ad hoc XBRL technical field 2" and "Ad hoc XBRL technical field 3" should be used only on the EIOPA request and in the manner specified in the "List of known issues" document.

V.2 Differences between DPM Dictionary and Business logs

V.2.1 Differences in enumerations

Enumerations provided by Business logs should be reflected in DPM Dictionary as hierarchy node labels. However Business logs dedicated to SPV reporting specify in Content table option "9" for cases when particular table doesn't have to be reported. For all other entry points it is option "0" that is supposed to be used. To assure internal consistency of DPM "0 - Not reported (in this case special justification is needed)" needs to be provided when according to Business logs "9 - Not reported (in this case justification is required)" should be chosen. This issue relates to SPV.01.01.20.01 table only (Table 3).

Table	Row code	Business logs	DPM Dictionary				
SPV.01.01.20.01	R0020	1 - Reported	1 - Reported				
SPV.01.01.20.01		9 - Not reported (in this case justification is required)	0 - Not reported (in this case special justification is needed)				
	R0030	1 - Reported	1 - Reported				
SPV.01.01.20.01		2 - Not reported o/a no off-balance sheet items	2 - Not reported as no off-balance sheet items				
		9 - Not reported other reason (in this case justification	0 - Not reported other reason (in this case special justification				
		is required)	is needed)				
SPV.01.01.20.01	R0040	1 - Reported	1 - Reported				
		9 - Not reported (in this case justification is required)	0 - Not reported (in this case special justification is needed)				
	R0050	1 -Reported	1 - Reported				
SPV.01.01.20.01		9 - Not reported (in this case justification is required)	0 - Not reported (in this case special justification is needed)				

Table 3. Differences in enumerations between Business logs and DPM Dictionary

V.3 Specific DPM-based solutions applied

V.3.1 Addressing RFFs/MAPs/Remaining part reporting scenarios

Some of EIOPA templates are dedicated to report information (i) for potentially unlimited number of 'Ring fenced funds', (ii) potentially unlimited number of 'Matching adjustment portfolios' and (iii) singe 'Remaining part'. Codes of such templates start with 'SR'. To

make the number of technical tables as low as possible EIOPA decided, comparing to the approach used for Preparatory phase, to use a mechanism that would allow to apply the same technical table to all of three scenarios above. As it could be necessary to multiply each template which code starts with 'SR' at least two dimensions are used on the Z-axis:

- one explicit dimension to specify if the table is reported for RFF, MAP or Remaining part²¹,
- one typed dimension to identify the code of RFF, MAP or Remaining part²².

See Figure 17 as an example of organization of Z-axis in case of templates dedicated to RFF/MAP reporting.

sr.02.01.01 Balance sheet			
balance sheet			
SR.02.01.01.01			
Z Axis:			
PO/All members	Ring Fenced Fund or rema	Z0020	PU_30
NF: Number of fund	Fund number	Z0030	NF
Balance sheet			
Balance sneet			
Balance sneet	1	Solvency II value	Statutory account
Balance sneet		Solvency II value	Statutory account value
balance sneet		Solvency II value	
			value
	R0010		value
Assets	R0010 R0020		value
Assets Goodwill Deferred acuisition costs Intangible assets			value
Assets Goodwill Deferred acquisition costs	R0020		

Figure 17. Organization of Z-axis in case of templates dedicated to RFF/MAP reporting

V.3.2 Application of Article 112

Article 112 provides to NCAs a possibility to request from filer figures calculated according to standard formula even when more complex approaches were already approved²³. This option was introduced by EIOPA to the DPM using 'AO' dimension on a Z-axis. This dimension refers to hierarchy of 'AO' domain with two potential options:

²¹ In some tables also other option is possible: 'Ring fenced fund or Matching adjustment portfolio'.

²² Must be noted that the scope of 'Remaining part' is the same, no matter of number of RFFs or MAPs reported.

 23 Under this scenario for example template S.25.01 could be requested together with S.25.05, but S.25.01 according to article 112.

- 'No', that is default value applicable implicitly across all the tables,
- 'Yes', that identifies the application of article 112.

See Figure 18 as an example of organization of Z-axis in case of templates for which article 112 could be potentially applied.




Figure 18. Organization of Z-axis in case of templates for which article 112 could be potentially applied

V.3.3 Splitting of information between open and closed tables

Modelling Solvency II, Pension Funds and Pan-European Personal Pension Products KID and PR reporting requirement quite often it was necessary to split between different tables information that initially was perceived to be homogenous. The reason was that for some facts business table was supposed to be closed (i.e. small explicit list of countries), for the other – open (i.e. list of other countries). At the same time it was a role of DPM not to allow reporting of countries from closed table in the open one. To solve the challenge EIOPA decided in the second case to refer from a dimension on an open axis to the dedicated subset of countries. Figure 19 presents the organisation of template dedicated to 'Health Catastrophe risk - Concentration accident'.

5.27.01.01.21												
with the second state of t												
Health Catastrophe risk - Concentration accident												
			Average sum insured	Catastrophe Risk								
		Largest known accident risk concentration		Catastrophe Risk Charge before	Estimated Risk	Estimated Reinstatement Premiums	Catastrophe Risk Charge after risk mitigation					
			Accidental death	risk mitigation	Mitigation		Catalogue Kan Charge and Tax Hugebon					
		C1310	C1320	C1370	C1380	C1390	C1400					
Health Catastrophe risk - Concentration accident		C1310	01320	01570	01300	01390						
Republic of Austria	R3700							LX/AUSTRIA				
Kingdom of Belgium	83710			-				LX/BELGIUM				
Republic of Bulgaria	83720			-				LX/BULGARIA				
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	84000							LX/UNITED KINGDOM				
				EA/Excluding th	FA/Furluding th	FA/Furluding the loss-absorbing ca	EA/Excluding the loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions					
		RT/Health accident concentration risk	RT/Health accident concentration risk [acci									
			VG/Solvency II		VG/Solvency II		VG/Solvency II					
			Metric: Monetary			Metric: Monetary	Metric: Monetary					
		PPAragest accident risk concentration of an instrumed Tomula III (Standard formula III) Standard formula III (Standard formula III) Standard formula III) Standard formula										
			BC/Exposure				5 BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR]					
							TIT/After risk mitigation [risk mitigation cost for reinstalment premium and other	er than from finite reinsurance]				
5.27.01.01.23	_											
Z Axis:												
MT/Material												
Y Aaist				_								
LX/All members	Issuer country/country of residence	C1309	GA_25									
Health Catastrophe risk - Concentration accident												
			Average sum insured	Catastrophe Risk	Estimated Risk							
		Largest known accident risk concentration	Accidental death	Charge before	Mitigation	Estimated Reinstatement Premiums	Catastrophe Risk Charge after risk mitigation					
				risk mitigation								
		C1310	C1320	C1370	C1380	C1390	C1400					
Health Catastrophe risk - Concentration accident				\sim	2							
Other countries to be considered in the Concentration accident												
	R4010				-							
	R4010						s EA/Excluding the loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions					
	R4010		RT/Health accident concentration risk [acci	id RT/Health accid	RT/Health acci	RT/Health accident concentration	r RT/Health accident concentration risk					
	R4010		VG/Solvency II	id RT/Health accir VG/Solvency II	RT/Health acci VG/Solvency II	RT/Health accident concentration VG/Solvency II	r RT/Health accident concentration risk VG/Solvency II					
	R4010	Metric: Pure	VG/Solvency II Metric: Monetary	id RT/Health accid VG/Solvency II Metric: Moneta	RT/Health acci VG/Solvency II Metric: Moneto	RT/Health accident concentration VG/Solvency II Metric: Monetary	RT/Health accident concentration risk VG/Solvency II Metric: Monetary					
	R4010	Metric: Pure PP/Largest accident risk concentration of an insuran	VG/Solvency II Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula	id RT/Health accid VG/Solvency II Metric: Moneta II/Standard for	RT/Health acci VG/Solvency II Metric: Moneta II/Standard for	RT/Health accident concentration VG/Solvency II Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula	r RT/Health accident concentration risk VG/Solvancy II Matric: Monatary II/Standard formula					
	R4010	Metric: Pure PP/Largest accident risk concentration of an insuran	VG/Solvency II Metric: Monetary	id RT/Health accid VG/Solvency II Metric: Moneta II/Standard for BC/Solvency ca	RT/Health acci VG/Solvency II Metric: Moneto II/Standard for BC/Solvency ca	RT/Health accident concentration VG/Solvency II Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement	RT/Health accident concentration risk VG/Solvency II Metric: Monetary					

Figure 19. Organisation of template dedicated to 'Health Catastrophe risk - Concentration accident' reporting for both: closed and open list of countries

V.3.4 Introduction of T.99.01.01 technical template

One of the issues which may prevent to report all requested data could be due to the DPM modelling describing two separate business concepts as a single datapoint. As a consequence there would be just a single container while filer would have to report two separate facts.

T.99.01.01 Technical table

T.99.01.01.01 Technical table											
Table	X axis	Y axis	Z axis	Comment	Monetary	String	Date	Integer	Decimal	Pure	Boolean
C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120
*artificial	*artificial	*artificial	*artificial	Metric: String	Metric: Monetary	Metric: String	Metric: Date	Metric: Integer	Metric: Decimal	Metric: Pure	Metric: Boolean
			YR: T.99.01.01.01 line identification (Z axis)	TS/Comment to technical table							

Figure 20. T.99.01.01.01 technical table

In order to provide a workaround (instead issuing a full taxonomy hotfix with more impact on systems) a technical container to be used for such a case was defined: T.99.01.01 (Figure 20). Systems should be designed taking into account that this table may need to be used, however if the needs arrive a full description of how to use it to overcome the specific issue would be published by EIOPA. In order to prevent the unintentional use of this table T.99, as normally is no to be used, the taxonomy includes a set of validations (TV60-TV65²⁴) preventing reporting of data which will be deactivated only if the needs arrive. Please also note that in no case new business data is required, but this is only allowing to submit the required data that when is not possible to be done with the regular tables.

As an overview of the functionality of the table please note that it consists of three sets of information:

- reference to potential placeholder for a given fact. It is organized as a combination of four typed dimension:
 - dimension defining the table where the fact should have been displayed, e.g. 'S.02.01.01.01',
 - o dimension defining a column (X axis), e.g. 'C0010',

²⁴ Note, that most technical validations were reassessed and recodified to Business Validations (BV)

- \circ dimension defining a row (Y axis), e.g. 'R0020'²⁵,
- \circ dimension giving information on the Z axis²⁶.
- fact itself in a column dedicated to particular datatype of potential fact to be reported,
- comments.

The solution is flexible enough to explicitly define and provide any missing fact. Equivalent tables were introduced for the PF and PEP models.

VI Differences introduced with adopting ATOME: Matter

Starting from 2.8.0 release EIOPA generates the XBRL taxonomy using metadata management platform. Excel files are not treated anymore as a dedicated input to this process, but only as one of outputs, next to DB or XBRL taxonomy. Although the DPM metamodel stays the same, organization of the output Excel files was modified and improved. In this chapter the changes in the DPM Dictionary and Annotated Templates structure will be described in detail.

VI.1.1 Changes in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

EIOPA DPM Dictionary follows most of the layout defined in the previous releases. The dictionary elements are presented in multiple worksheets.

VI.1.1.1 Primary items

The main differences for metrics can be summarised briefly as follows:

 Metric MD and HD are now presented in single worksheet with an additional dedicated column to distinguish them and are now linked to their assigned owner,

²⁶ In case where it would be necessary to provide information about Z-axis detailed solution for given issue will be described in the 'List of known issues' document available on EIOPA webpage.

²⁵ In case of open tables detailed solution regarding Y axis for given issue will be described in the 'List of known issues' document available on EIOPA webpage.

- Column 'Name' was rephrased to 'Code', to clarify content of this filed and reduce the confusion among users when comparing to 'Label' column²⁷,
- The domain information is provided only to enum:enumerationItemType metrics. Six additional columns, grouped in pairs, are referenced in those cases:
 - Referenced domain owner and code identifying a domain from which the list is provided, together with its assigned owner,
 - Referenced hierarchy owner and code identifying a relationship set of domain members that are potential value of a metric. The set can be of nested structure,
 - Referenced member owner and code (optional) it identifies, in case of nested relationship, sets starting nodes that are excluded from the set of selected values (i.e. if it is x0, then it means that children of x0 ("Total/NA") are available values, but x0 ("Total/NA") itself is not)
 - Is starting included column connected with the referenced member column; indicates if the sets starting nodes are included in the set. In case of FALSE value, the Referenced member (owner) columns should be filled.
- Due to the limitations of the enumeration metric allowing only single choice options, a number of xbrli:stringItemType metrics were created to refer to specific hierarchies, allowing the possibility of reporting multiple choice values. Information on which metrics are multiple choice ones, was indicated by the red font of hierarchy reference. In the current approach, this information can be found in the "description" column and is described as Multiple-choice list based on hierarchy {domain code}_{hierarchy number}.

²⁷ This change is consisted in other sections of the dictionary

	Descrip		-	Referenced domain	Referenced domain		Referenced hierarchy		Referenced member			
Label (en)	Code (en)		er Data type	owner	code	owner	code	owner	code	Is starting includ	ed Period type Balance	
Metric: Monetary [BC/Own funds BE/Ancillary own funds OF/Supplementary members calls - other than under Article 96[3] of the Framework Direct			d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: (Rejinsurance Solo requirements (Full scope)	ei2341		d enumerati	se \$20	CN	s2c	CN/15			TRUE	instent	30/09/201
Metric: String TS/Item title	si1338	s2m									instant	07/07/201
Profit or loss sharing	ei336	s2h		or s2c	CN	s2c	CN/15			TRUE	instant	30/09/201
Metric: Monetary [BC/Profit reserves	mi5079	s2m									instant	01/11/201
Metric: Monetary (II)Standard formula (BC/Exposure (PO/Ring Fenced Funds	mi137	s2m									instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary [BC/Liability]LB/Debt instruments [not subordinated] [08/Collateral pledged	mi592	s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary [DD/Undiscounted]VP/Expenses cash outflows BC/Projection of future cash flows LB/Gross technical provisions (other than loc		s2m									instant	30/09/201
Metric: Monetary BC/Own funds [BE/Basic own funds]MS/Not available to meet SCR criteria [OF/Own funds [before deductions] other than reconcil	liatic mi456	s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: String TS/Name of insured entity	si1379	\$2m	d string								instant	07/07/201
Metric: Underlying security	ei1281	s2m	d enumerati	or s2c	PC	s2c	PC/2			TRUE	instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary BC/Assets AS/Recoverables IO/Other than investment, own use, own instruments held directly and cash and cash equivalents	CT/E mi273	s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] PO/Other than ring fenced funds UG/Before diversification effect U	IH/84 mi1934	s2m	d monetary								instant	30/09/201
Metric: Monetary [DD/Discounted] II/Full internal model BC/Premiums written	mi2700	s2m	d monetary								instant	15/07/202
Metric: Monetary BC/Assets AS/Montgages and loans	mi1978	s2m	d monetary								instant	30/09/201
Metric: Monetary [VG/Solvency II]BC/Exposure [TT/Assets whose risks are mainly borne by the policyholders	mi2878	s2m	d monetary								instant	15/07/202
Metric: Monetary [VG/Solvency II] BC/Premium income DI/Year to Date CC/Not ceded	mi2837	s2m	d monetary								instant	15/07/202
Metric: Monetary III/Standard formula IBC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] (PO/Ring Fenced Funds JAB/Scenario B IIT/Risk mitigation cost for rei	natel mi178	s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Use of sliding scale commission	+1568	x2hc	i enumerati	ar x2c	AP	*2c	AP/48			TRUE	instant	15/07/202
Property type	e:565	12h	d enumerati	er s2c	MC	*2c	MC/68			TRUE	instant	15/07/202
Metric: Original currency of exposure/transection/instrument	ei1024	s2m			cu	120	CU/1	\$2¢	xO	FALSE	instant	07/07/201
Metric: Solvency Capital Requirement - Simplifications (Third country branches)	ei2808	s2m			CN	120	CN/128			TRUE	instant	15/07/202
Valuation method [full scope]	ei351	s2h			VM	120	VM/23			TRUE	instant	30/09/201
Metric: Monetary IV/Solvency IIIBC/Own funds IBE/Ancillary own funds	mi2005	s2m				245	end to				instant	30/09/201
Metric: Pue (P/Laborational endormation of the contract of the	pi542	s2m									instant	07/07/201
Metric: String TS/Pension fund name	1/5103	s2m									instant	01/11/201
means, as mig (a) remain more name. Metric: Monetary (VG/Solvency III BC/Liability LB/Gross technical provisions [other than local GAP specific] (CC/Ceded	mi1239	s2m									instant	07/07/201
Metric: Internal model for life and health risk (Group) Metric: Internal model for life and health risk (Group)	ei2769	s2m		2 -	CN	s2c	CN/134			TRUE	instant	15/07/202
Metric: String TP/Solvency Capital Requirement - PIM (S/Template reporting status	\$12765	\$200		F 520	CN .	340	04/154			INUE	instant	07/07/201
Metric: String; IP/Solvency Capital Requirement - PM IS/ Imprate reporting status Metric: Monetary III/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement SCR PO/Other than ring fenced funds RT/Aviation	mi162											07/07/201
		s2m									instant	
Metric: Monetary DD/Undiscounted VP/Future guaranteed and discretionary benefits BC/Projection of future cash flows LB/Premium provisions		s2m									instant	07/07/201
Metric: String II/Standard formula TS/Single name exposure	si703	s2m									instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary BC/Own funds BE/Basic or encillary own funds El/Not restricted MS/Available to meet SCR criteria	mi415	s2m									instant	07/07/201
Metric: Facultative covers for non-life and life business shares data [280]	ei2787		d enumerati		CN	s2c	CN/142			TRUE	instant	15/07/202
Participation (Group)	ei18	s2h			PU	82c	PU/14	\$2¢	xO	FALSE	instant	07/07/201
Metric: RFF (Preparatory scope)	ei1516	s2m			PU	s2c	PU/12	\$2c	xO	FALSE	instant	07/07/201
CN(All members [2]	ei36	\$250		or s2c	CN	s2c	CN/2			TRUE	instant	07/07/201
Metric: Boolean BX/Simplifications for counterparty default risk used PO/Ring Fenced Funds	bi740	s2m									instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary I Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] RT/Marine platform explosion IT/After risk mitigation [risk mitigation		s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: Solvency Capital Requirement - Life underwriting risk (Full scope individual)[220]	ei2493		d enumerati		CN	s2c	CN/124			TRUE	instant	15/07/201
Solvency Capital Requirement - Health underwriting risk (Third country branches)	e/645	s2h0	d enumeration	or s2c	CN	s2c	CN/152			TRUE	instant	15/07/202
Metric: String TS/Number of fund	\$11551	s2m	d string								Instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary VG/Solvency II BC/Repo and securities lending	mi1159	s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary BC/Own funds BE/Basic own funds OF/Initial funds [members' contributions or the equivalent basic own fund item for mutual a	nd m mi430	s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: Decimal DC/Age of beneficiaries (years)	ri2269	s2m	d decimal								instant	30/09/201
List of assets (Pension funds, including option for non-IORP)	ei10030	s2ho	d enumerati	or s2c	CN	\$2¢	CN/504			TRUE	instant	01/11/201
Metric: Monetary IBC/Contributions receivable	mi5063	s2m	d monetary								instant	01/11/201
Metric: Monetary [VG/Solvency II] BC/Own funds BE/Basic or ancillary own funds MS/Eligible to meet SCR criteria	mi1666	s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: Monetary (BC/Own funds LL/Controlling interests BE/Basic own funds MS/Not available to meet SCR criteria (other than due to local restr	ictio mi394	s2m	d monetary								instant	07/07/201
Metric: Solvency Capital Requirement - Life underwriting risk (Full scope individual)	ei1748		d enumerati	er s2c	CN	*2c	CN/51			TRUE	instent	30/09/201
Metric: Issuer country (Full scope) [260]	ei2555		d enumerati		GA	*2c	GA/35			TRUE	instant	15/07/202

Figure 21. Structure of metrics worksheet in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

VI.1.1.2 Domains worksheet

Domain presentation stayed largely unchanged, with the removal of redundant Namespace field.

Label (en)	Code	Description (en)	Туре	Owner	Data type	Creation date	Valid from	Valid to	Last modification
Time intervals	TI		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Types of percentage	PP		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Rating grades	EI		explicit	s2c		15/07/2023			
Status of claim	SC		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	L .		
Exposure types	EX		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Geographical areas	GA		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Risk types	RT		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Lines of businesses	LB		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	4		
Languages	LA		explicit	s2c		30/09/2015			
Brackets	BR		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Eligibility	EL		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Datapoint Owner	DO		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014		31/12/2013	
Treatment of risk mitigation	TR		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Types of string	TS		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	4		
Valuation methods	VM		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Purposes of assets/portfolio	PU		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	4		
Types of boolean	BT		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Templates	TP		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014		31/12/2013	
Instant or duration	DI		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	4		
Content	CN		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Controlling or minority interests	CM		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	4		
Consolidation scopes	CS		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	4		
Currencies	CU		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Amount types	AM		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	4		
Types of number	NT		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
NACE	NC		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Types of trigger	LT		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014	4		
Main categories	MC		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Approaches used	AP		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Article 112 and 167	AO		explicit	s2c		07/07/2014			
Names	NA		typed	s2c	string	07/07/2014			
ine identification	NB		typed	s2c	string	07/07/2014	-		15/07/201
Codes	ID		typed	s2c	string	07/07/2014			
Agencies	RA		typed	s2c	string	07/07/2014	ł		
Types	TY		typed	s2c	string	07/07/2014			
Ratings	ER		typed	s2c	string	07/07/2014	4		
Metric domain	MET		metric	Technical					

Figure 22. Structure of domains worksheet in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

VI.1.1.3 Dimensions worksheet

The main amendment concerns the to mention of "dimension in MD" in the description column. Given the changes in the Annotated templates and the fact, that this excel is an output of the XBRL generation process, not the input, the information is provided after the model is created. It is worth to note however, that the rules for Dimension to be assigned as not applicable or "Dimension in MD closed" have not changed²⁸.

Label (en)	 Code 	 Description (en) 	 Owner 	 Domain owner 	 Domain code 	 Creation date 	 Valid from 	 Valid to 	 Last modification 	
Availability of excess of assets over liabilities for RFF or MP	AA		\$20	\$20	Ð.		07/2014			30/09/2015
Risk scenario	AB		\$2c	\$2c	RT	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
SPV authorisation conditions	AC		\$20	\$2c	TB	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
Prospective or retrospective	AD	Dimension in MD Closed	s2c	s2c	AM	07/	07/2014	15/07/	2016	
Article 304	AE		\$20	\$20	AP	30/	09/2015	31/12/	2013	
Currency conversion approach	AF	Dimension in MD Closed	\$2C	s2c	CA	30/	09/2015			
Changes In own funds	AG	Dimension in MD Closed	\$20	\$2c	VM	07/	07/2014			
Country of authorisation	AH		s2c	s2c	GA	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
Type of claim	AI		\$20	s2c	sc	07/	07/2014			
Type of assets and/or liabilities	AL		\$20	\$20	MC	07/	07/2014			
Article 112	AO	Dimension in MD Closed	\$2c	\$2c	AO	07/	07/2014			
Age of PEPP saver	AP	Dimension in MD Closed	s2c	s2c	TI	15/	07/2021			
Securitisation assets related and not related to cedant held in trust with other third party than cedant/sponsor	AR		52c	52c	PU	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
Type of assets	AS		\$20	\$20	MC	07/	07/2014			
Article 167	AT		\$2C	s2c	AO	30/	09/2015	31/12/	2013	
Applicable standard	AX	Dimension in MD Closed	\$2¢	\$2c	AM	07/	07/2014			
Activity of broker	AY		s2c	52c	TB	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
Group identification code	AZ	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	\$20	\$20	ID	07/	07/2014	30/09/	2015	
Assets value brackets	BA		\$2c	\$2c	BR	01/	11/2018			
Basic concepts	BC		s2c	\$2c	BC	07/	07/2014			
Solvency II own funds eligibility	BE		\$20	s2c	EL.	07/	07/2014			
Countries by amount of gross premiums written	81	Dimension in MD Closed	s2c	\$2c	GA	07/	07/2014			
Line of business [general]	84	Dimension in MD Closed	\$20	\$20	LB	07/	07/2014			
Types of boolean	BX		\$2c	s2c	BT	07/	07/2014			
Code broker	CA	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	s2c	\$2c	ID	07/	07/2014			
Insurance classes	CB		52C	\$2¢	LB	07/	07/2014			
Ceded and not ceded	cc		\$20	\$20	TB	07/	07/2014			
Currency delivered/settlement/obligation currency	CD	Dimension in MD Closed	s2c	\$2c	cu	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
Identification code of entity	CE	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	s2c	s2c	ID	07/	07/2014			
CIC code	CF	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	\$20	52c	ID	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
Collateral/Guarantee	CG		\$20	s2c	CG	07/	07/2014	30/09/	2015	
Change of status during the period	СН		\$20	\$20	sc	07/	07/2014			
Code of guarantee	ci	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	s2c	s2c	ID	07/	07/2014			
Country of custody	CJ		\$2¢	\$2c	GA	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
\$.23.04.zz.06 line identification	CK	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	\$20	\$20	ID.		07/2014	15/07/		15/07/2016
Composite limited CIC code of underlying	α	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	\$20	\$20	ID .	07/	07/2014	31/12/	2013	
Method of consolidation	CM	Dimension in MD Closed	s2c	\$2c	CS	30/	09/2015			
Content	CN		52c	52c	CN		07/2014	31/12/	2013	
Counterparty ID	co	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	\$20	\$20	ID.		07/2014	31/12/		
\$.23.04.zz.02 line identification	CP.	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	\$20	\$20	ID		07/2014	15/07/		15/07/2016
Currency received	CR	Dimension in MD Closed	\$20	\$2c	cu		07/2014	31/12/		
Consolidation scope	CS	Dimension in MD Closed	520	\$20	CS		07/2014			
Counterparties	ст		\$20	\$20	SE		07/2014			
Code collateral/guarantee provider	cv	Dimension in MD closed property is not applicable	\$20	520	ID ID		07/2014			30/09/2015

Figure 23. Structure of dimensions worksheet in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

VI.1.1.4 Domain worksheet

Domain worksheet follows the current structure, both for list of domain members and hierarchical relations between them. Each relationship set (hierarchy) is described by its domain code, number, and label (i.e. "2: Tiers" in EL domain). The relationship set structure remained unchanged. The only notifiable differences are the removal of the information on applicability of specific dimension or assignment to certain tables and information on the usability of certain hierarchy nodes. The information about the association of a subdomain with certain dimensions was indicative from the outset and was intended to give context for its creation. It did not, however, affect the operation of the model itself²⁹. Similarly, the information about the use of a given hierarchy as a semi-open axis in a specific table. Both pieces of information were therefore of limited

²⁸ The rules are described in detail in section IV.2 MD and HD versions of the DPM.

²⁹ Although it was reflected in the xBRL taxonomy in the form of dedicated label.

analytical value, while carrying a significant risk of error and imposing an additional maintenance burden³⁰. The other difference can be found in the explicit use of usable attribute for both values. The non-usable cases are identified with "FALSE" in "Usable" column, while the rest of the elements have "TRUE" attribute assigned.




Figure 24. Structure of domain worksheets in EIOPA DPM Dictionary

VI.1.2 General remarks to the Annotated Templates

Most of the changes to the structure of the Annotated Templates is a consequence of the new approach to the XBRL taxonomy generation, where Annotated Templates in MS Excel are outputs, not inputs. Part of the changes in their layout is the switch from presenting the annotated metrics as Highly Dimensional to Moderately Dimensional approach. Due to this fact, the whole process of deriving a different version of the model has been reversed³¹. The new process involves breaking down the MD into an HD metric and dimension-domain member pairs, separated by a vertical bar. EIOPA would like to point out that, despite the change, the process described in section IV.2 is still correct. The aim was to allow the model to be presented in the same way both in MS Excel and XBRL Taxonomy, where the second one is purely MD-oriented. As a result, it is expected to be more familiar to the filers and less error prone, as colour coding from the previous approach could bring some ambiguity and lead to omissions.

³¹ A description of the MD and HD models, including the procedure for creating the former, is provided in detail in section *IV.2 MD and HD versions of the DPM*

³⁰ Still, this kind of information can be extracted for example from the DPM DB.

VI.1.2.1 Organisation of Annotated Templates

Tables are presented in dedicated worksheets, which are in turn organised into table groups, presented in the 'Table of Contents' worksheet³². The naming convention stayed unchanged; however, tables are now displayed in tree structure together with their entry point assignment.




Figure 25. Structure of Table of content

The presentation of closed tables is mostly unchanged. The only noticeable differences are presentation of the z-axes and the relations between different rows. In the previous approach, these relationships were presented using indentation, which posed a number of potential problems. Users could miss a particular indentation or get confused about the specific row level (which was particularly possible when there were more multiple indentations). Currently, this relationship is reflected through the different columns. Reported rows extend from the left side of the table up to the row code. The parent-child relationship is reflected by moving to the right towards the row code. Abstracts, on the other hand, are distinguished by their vertical structure and the absence of their own code and modelling.

³² Previously named "Entry points"

<u>S.25.01.01.02 - Calcu</u>	ulation of Solvency Capital Requirement	s2c_dim:AO (Article 112)						
Sheets	Z Axis: (Z0001):	112)						
	2 Adds. (20001).	s2c_exp:AO - 1 (Article						
Article 112 (20010)		112) starting with x0						
		(No)						
			Value C0100	Metrics	s2c_dim:RT (Risk types)	s2c_dim:VG (Valuation general)	s2c_dim:EA (SCR calculation)	s2c_dim:PO (Portfolio)
Adjustment due to	RFF/MAP nSCR aggregation	R0120		s2md_met:mi2051 (Metric: Monetary III/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Diversification effect IT/After risk mitigation effect other than from finite reinsurance)	s2c_RT:x151 (Risks other than operational)	s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)		
Operational risk		R0130		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary III/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement (SCR) UG/Before diversification effect)	s2c_RT:x121 (Operational risk)	s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x23 (Including the loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions)	
Loss-absorbing cap	pacity of technical provisions	R0140		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x32 (Loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions)	
	bacity of deferred taxes	R0150		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x28 (Loss-absorbing capacity of deferred taxes)	
Capital requirement Art. 4 of Directive 20	nt for business operated in accordance with 003/41/EC	R0160		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary)II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x14 (Capital requirement for business operated in accordance with Art. 4 of Directive 2003/41/EC [transitional])	
Solvency Capital Re	equirement excluding capital add-on	R0200		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary)II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x48 (SCR before capital add-on)	
		R0210		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary) II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x13 (Capital add-on)	
	of which, capital add-ons already set - Article 37 (1) Type a	R0211		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary) II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement (SCR) UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x97 (Capital add-on - Article 37 (1) Type a)	
Capital add-ons already set	of which, capital add-ons already set - Article 37 (1) Type b	R0212		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x98 (Capital add-on - Article 37 (1) Type b)	
	of which, capital add-ons already set - Article 37 (1) Type c	R0213		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	$s2c_VM{\simeq}99$ (Capital add-on - Article 37 (1) Type c)	
	of which, capital add-ons already set - Article 37 (1) Type d	R0214		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary) II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x100 (Capital add-on - Article 37 (1) Type d)	
Solvency capital rec	quirement	R0220		s2md_met:mi728 (Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)		
	Capital requirement for duration-based equity risk sub-module	R0400		s2md_met:mi2338(Metric: Monetary)II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] IO/Non-strategic participation and investments other than participations OU/Not to be deducted UG/Before diversification effect IT/After risk mitigation effect other than from finite reinsurance)	s2c_RT:x33 (Equity risk [duration based])	s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x24 (including the loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions and deferred taxes)	
	Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for remaining part	R0410		s2md_met:ml2430 (Metric: Monetary III/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect UH/Before diversification effect		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x24 (including the loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions and deferred taxes)	s2c_PU:x54 (Remaining part [other than MAPs and
Other information	Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring-fenced funds	R0420		s2md_met:mi2430 (Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect UH/Before diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x24 (Including the loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions and deferred taxes)	s2c_PU:x40 (Material Ring Fenced Funds)
on SCR	Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for matching adjustment portfolios	R0430		s2md_met.mi2430 (Metric: Monetary III/Standard formula (BC/Solvency capital requirement (SCR) [UG/Before diversification effect UH/Before diversification effect		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x24 (Including the loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions and deferred taxes)	s2c_PU:x53 (Matching Adjustment Portfolios)
	Diversification effects due to RFF nSCR aggregation for article 304	R0440		s2md_met:mi1937 (Metric: Monetary II/Standard formula BC/Solvency capital requirement [SCR] UG/Before diversification effect UH/Diversification effect)		s2c_AM:x80 (Solvency II)	s2c_VM:x24 (Including the loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions and deferred taxes)	

Figure 26. Example structure of closed table

The organisation of the open tables has also received some changes. Two approaches have been developed, for key and metric columns. The approach to metric columns has remained largely unchanged. Key columns, on the other hand, are distinguished by both the way the annotation is presented and the visual representation of the column itself. In this case, both the label and the column code are contained in a single cell. The modelling, is placed within the Excel note rather than directly below the table, as is the case with metric columns. On the other hand, information about relations between keys in open tables, along with their types and indication if they are mandatory or optional, can be now found as part of the key-column label³³.



Figure 27. Example structure of open table

VI.1.2.2 Annotation convention

³³ This information is also reflected in the DPM database as well as XBRL Taxonomy. Specific implementation is described in detail in DPM Database documentation under 4.5.1.16 mAxisOrdinate table description and XBRL Taxonomy Documentation section VII.3.3 Tables respectively By placing a single table in a dedicated worksheet, the table's components can now be explicitly identified and, consequently, named ranges and cell styles have been rendered redundant and removed.

An example illustrating how annotations have been applied to the templates is presented on Figure 11. As described in the III.2 DPM framework section, annotations can be applied to columns, rows, or the whole tables ("Z axis:"). Therefore, annotations in the new approach, may refer to:

- MD metrics, identified by the owner "s2md"³⁴ then word "Metric" followed by colon and dimension domain member pairs separated by vertical bar e.g., "Metric:Monetary|DD/Undiscounted|BC/Claims paid",
- Dimension-member pairs for explicit dimensions, outside of the MD metric, according to the pattern where the dimension is described as a column or row header (for the X and Y axes respectively) following "s2c_dim:" {dimension code} "("{dimension label}")" pattern and the domain members described "s2c" {domain code}: {domain member code}, opening bracket {label of domain member} closing bracket, i.e. "s2c AP:x2 (Partial internal model)",
- set of explicit dimension-member pairs with identification of a subdomain that defines applicable domain members, following the pattern "s2c exp:" {domain code}-{Hierarchy number} "("{Hierarchy label}")" followed by information on starting domain member, "s2c_exp:LB 52 (Line of business (general)[210]) starting with x0 (Total/NA)",
- typed dimensions annotated as "s2c_dim:"{dimension code} "("{label of dimension}")", e.g. "s2c_dim:NF (Number of fund)". In case of open tables, the annotation is stored within Excel note.

Note that when there are multiple variants of a template, which differ only by the "Z axis:" property, they can be combined onto one sheet using multiple "Z axis:" sections.

³⁴ Standing for Solvency II Moderately Dimensional

<u>S.16.01.01.02 - Annu</u>	ities information	s2c_dim:RB (Related line of business)	s2c_dim:AX (Applicable standard)	(Original/exposure	s2c_dim:AF (Currency conversion approach)					
	Z Axis: (Z0001): s2c_dim:BL (Line of business									
Sheets	[general]) s2c_LB:x9 (Annuities stemming from									
(TemporaryAxisCo										
_215362)	(Insurance/reinsurance business) s2c_LB:x28									
	(Direct Business)									
The related non-lif	e	s2c exp:LB - 31 (Line								
Accident year /			s2c exp:AM - 8							
Currency (Z0030)				s2c exp:CU - 1						
Currency conversion	n				s2c exp:CA - 1					
			Undiscounted annuity	Undiscounted annuity	Annuity payments	Undiscounted annuity	Number of annuities	Best Estimate for	Undiscounted	s2c_dim:RM (Previou
			C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	months/years)
		R0040								s2c TI:x50 (N-15 and
	N-14	R0050								s2c TI:x49 (N-14)
	N-13	R0060								s2c TI:x48 (N-13)
	N-12	R0070								s2c TI:x47 (N-12)
	N-11	R0080								s2c TI:x46 (N-11)
	N-10	R0090								s2c TI:x44 (N-10)
	N-9	R0100								s2c TI:x58 (N-9)
Prior years	N-8	R0110								s2c TI:x57 (N-8)
Prior years	N-7	R0120								s2c TI:x56 (N-7)
	N-6	R0130								s2c TI:x55 (N-6)
	N-5	R0140								s2c TI:x54 (N-5)
	N-4	R0150								s2c TI:x53 (N-4)
	N-3	R0160								s2c TI:x52 (N-3)
	N-2	R0170								s2c TI:x51 (N-2)
	N-1	R0180								s2c TI:x42 (N-1)
	N	R0190								s2c TI:x41 (N)
Total		R0200								
		Metrics	s2md_met:mi84	s2md_met:mi87	s2md_met:mi83	s2md_met:mi84	s2md met:ii19	s2md_met:mi64	s2md_met:mi84	
		s2c_dim:TK (Time	s2c TF:x4 (N-1Y)							
		s2c dim:VG			s2c AM:x80 (Solvency	s2c AM:x80 (Solvency		s2c AM:x80 (Solvency	s2c AM:x80 (Solvency	
		s2c dim:DI (Instant or		s2c DI:x5 (Year to	s2c DI:x5 (Year to					
		s2c dim:VL						s2c_VM:x5 (Best		
		s2c dim:TA (Types of							s2c_AM:x30	

Figure 28. Example annotated table