



EIOPA-15-253
21 10 2015

EIOPA XBRL Filing Rules

Ver 2.3.0

LAST UPDATE: 15/07/2018

Please note that the Pension Funds taxonomy is still under development and its final version is expected till 1 November 2018. For this reason parts of this document applicable to the Pension Funds reporting could be subject to further improvements. However, these potential changes, if any, will be minor and will not impact the filing rules for Solvency 2 reporting

INDEX

I	Modification history	4
II	Introduction.....	7
II.1	Abbreviations.....	7
II.2	Application	7
II.3	Relation to other work and numbering of rules	8
II.4	Use of language	8
III	Filing rules	9
III.1	Filing name.....	9
III.2	Referring to the Taxonomy	9
III.3	Filing indicators	9
III.4	Completeness of the instance.....	10
III.5	Valid XML, XBRL and according to the defined business rules	10
III.6	Reporting entity	11
III.7	Reporting period	12
III.8	Reporting unit of measure	12
III.9	Fact values and data accuracy.....	13
III.10	Rules for XML and XBRL technical artefacts	15
III.11	Other content of XBRL instance document	16
III.12	Other relevant information for the XBRL instance document.....	16
IV	General guidelines and examples.....	18
IV.1	Filing indicators.....	18
IV.2	Example of valid representations, @decimals value and impact on validation tolerances.....	18
IV.3	Codes and Type of Codes	19
IV.3.1	LEI and other entity codes	19
IV.3.2	ISIN and other instrument codes	19
V	Solvency II related guidelines and examples	21
V.1	LEI and other entity codes.....	21
V.2	ISIN and other instrument codes.....	25
V.3	Reporting of Non Applicable facts	28
V.4	Artificial keys	28
V.5	Multi value elements reporting is applicable	30
V.6	Reporting special cases with Solvency II Taxonomy	31

V.6.1	Special cases foreseen in the ITS	31
V.6.2	Special cases not particularly foreseen in the ITS, but following the general approach of article 35 of the Directive	31
V.6.3	Empty submissions	31
V.6.4	Fields values indications	32
V.7	<i>Solvency II Public Disclosure Templates</i>	32
VI	Pension Funds related guidelines and examples	32

I Modification history

Date	Main change description
06/03/2015	Version prepared for NCA review.
16/03/2015	Version prepared for public review.
10/04/2015	Final version for preparatory. Rules: 1.7.(b), S.2.18.(c), S.2.7.(b), III.11, III.12, S.2.8, S.19, S.20 have been updated with significant changes. Other minor changes have been completed.
30/04/2015	Rule S.2.8.(c) and S.2.18.(c) have been updated with significant changes. Other minor changes have been completed.
08/05/2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated wording for rules S.2.18.(c) and S.2.18.(e). S.2.8.(c) includes a new example for SC scheme. S.1.10.(a) "mandatory" case removed for clarity as all rules are mandatory for Preparatory. Added a new section VI for Enumerated Metrics.
02/07/2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Updated for full Solvency II reporting First Public Draft Version. II.2. Application – added section discouraging changes of rules severity by NCAs S.1.5.(a) – correction of canonical namespace prefix for schemaRef and linkbaseRef from xbrli: to link: S.1.5.(b) removed - redundant, already included in S.1.5.(a) S.1.6.(c) removed – additional sentence included in S.1.7.(a) and rule covered by taxonomy value assertions S.1.6.(d) removed - filing indicator elements (similarly to taxonomy metrics) are linked to an empty dimension closed hypercube prohibiting any content in segment and scenario elements S.1.9 – XBRL Extensible Enumerations included in the list of specifications S.1.10.(b) – clarification on wording S.2.8.(a) – pre-LEI removed S.2.8.(c) – included a sentence allowing specific national code scheme only when LEI is not available 3.1 – reworded to allow and define the rules for multicurrency reporting S.2.16.(a) and S.2.16.(b) merged into S.2.16 S.2.18.(c) – includes a table describing requirement for monetary amount representation and precision based on its appearance in specified templates

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • S.2.18.(f) – rule removed • S.2.15 – rule added • 3.5 – clarification added on application of a default namespace prefix • IV Guidelines – section on “Instance document naming convention” removed (as duplicated from rule S.1.1.(a). • V and VI – crossed out; to be updated for final package
24/07/2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1.6.(a) and 1.6.(b) – added text to impose that filing indicators elements are in a tuple • S.1.7.(a) – rule removes, check included in the XBRL taxonomy assertions • 1.7.1 – rule clarified for data points shared between templates • S.2.8.(a) – updated for identifiers required for full scope Solvency II reporting • S.2.18.(c) – corrected inconsistent requirement for @decimals in text and table • S.2.18.(e) – changed from pure to percentage item type for percentage/ratio metrics following the change in the DPM and XBRL taxonomy • S.2.7.(b) – changed from MUST to SHOULD • sections V.1 and V.2 updated for full scope Solvency II • section VI. Enumerated metrics removed as not applicable for full scope Solvency II (all requirements are defined in the taxonomy and ITS) • corrections and clarifications in VII. Explanatory notes
28/09/2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update of the section V Codes and types of codes for Solvency II • Modification of S.2.8.(c) to include a missing “s” in the subdomain standards.s.iso.org, blackguard compatibility without s should be provided • Removal the rule S.1.10 (b) and including it in the introduction • Inclusion of the new should rule S.2.23
21/10/2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarification of S.2.19 • Revision of S.2.23 • Update of Section V (on codes)
01/06/2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extended description of 3.1 • “Guidelines” include instruction on how to report multiple value elements with examples in “Explanatory examples” section • Updates to “Codes and Types of Codes” section

15/07/2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Guideline for Reporting of Non Applicable facts • Guideline for uniqueness of artificial keys
01/06/2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include short codes for errors in the filing rules following the EBA approach • Move guidelines section to the rules
15/07/2017	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Added rule S.2.21 • Added rule S.2.22 • Adaptation to support EIOPA Pension Funds Taxonomy • Inclusion of new section VIII for Reporting special cases with Solvency II Taxonomy (previously as a separated document) • Inclusion of an explanation of purpose of the Public Disclosure Templates entry points
15/07/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reorganisation of the document for application in Solvency II and Pensions reporting

II Introduction

This document describes the filing rules applicable to remittance of XBRL instance documents for EIOPA L2 reporting.

The aim of this document is to:

- define rules that limit the flexibility of XBRL in the construction of XBRL instance documents (N.B. these are in addition to rules defined in the XBRL specifications and EIOPA XBRL Taxonomy),
- provide additional guidelines related to the filing of data in general or specific cases.

The DPM and taxonomy documents does not address ALL the rules that are defined in the EIOPA information requirements. In particular it is assumed that all reported concepts must comply with the business requirements as specified in the applicable material published by EIOPA, European Commission or other Public Authorities. This includes those business rules not implemented in the XBRL taxonomy or explicitly checked by the IT tools¹.

II.1 Abbreviations

EIOPA	European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority
CEN	European Committee for Standardization (CEN, French: Comité Européen de Normalisation)
NCAs	National Competent Authorities
EBA	European Banking Authority
W3C	World Wide Web Consortium
XBRL	eXtensible Business Reporting Language
XML	eXtensible Markup Language

II.2 Application

The rules and guidelines defined in this document apply primarily to the Solvency II and Pension Funds XBRL Taxonomies information Level 2 (NCAs to EIOPA) submission process. NCAs may implement them as part of their Level 1 (Insurance, Reinsurance Undertakings, IORPS/Pension Funds to NCAs) data remittance.

In order to ensure a consistent implementation of European regulatory and supervisory frameworks, reduce the burden for the reporting entities and improve the efficiency of supervision of financial institutions across Europe, **EIOPA strongly requests National Competent Authorities to not change the severity of the common European Filing Rules.**

¹ For example, an ISIN code must be the correct one for the reported instrument. Whether it is or is not validated by the validations rules in the XBRL taxonomy.

II.3 Relation to other work and numbering of rules

For harmonisation of reporting between NCAs and the supervisory bodies at the EU level, the rules defined in this document were based on EBA XBRL Filing Rules which in turn are derived from the recommendations of the CEN Workshop Agreement on European filing rules developed by the CEN WS/XBRL project (<http://cen.eurofiling.info/>).

EIOPA has organised these rules differently (by topic) to those found in the CEN and EBA deliverables, as well as reworded them for consistency. The text of the rules is deliberately kept short but at the same time it shall be clear and self-explanatory to those with sufficient knowledge of XBRL. To improve understanding and readability of the rules, some explanatory information and supporting examples are provided in the annex to this document. To facilitate reconciliation and implementation, **identification of rules follow the CEN/EBA numbers / codes where applicable. For this reason, the numbering scheme is not sequential** and allows the sharing of codes with the existing CEN and EBA deliverables. For example if we look at the rule "1.6.(a) – Filing indicators" - 1.6.(a) refers to the CEN/EBA number / code.

II.4 Use of language

Rules identified as "MUST" in their definition need to be followed. Instance documents breaking any of these rules will be considered invalid and hence rejected.

Rules identified as "SHOULD" imply preference or best practice and a degree of tolerance, following the principle of "comply or explain". The rule should be respected unless there are good reasons not to do so. Failure to follow the rule will in general not result in rejection of an instance document.

Rules identified as "MAY" imply permission and describe actions that can be taken or constructs that can be used. Utilising these options will not result in rejection of an instance document.

III Filing rules

III.1 Filing name

S.1.1.(a) – XBRL instance document file extension

fileExtensionInUpperCase: An instance document file MUST use the .xbrl extension, in lowercase.

EIOPA does not define any specific file naming convention for an instance document. However, naming conventions for Level 1 reporting MAY be defined by the NCAs.

III.2 Referring to the Taxonomy

S.1.5.(a) – Taxonomy entry point selection

multipleSchemaRefsOrInappropriateSchemaRef: An instance document MUST reference only one entry point schema file ("module"), with the full absolute URL, as specified in the relevant EIOPA XBRL Taxonomy and be applicable² for the reference date of the instance document.

Technical note: this rule implies that the reference is only made using one link:schemaRef element and use of link:linkbaseRef is disallowed.

2.1 – Prohibition of @xml:base

xmlBaseUsed: @xml:base attribute MUST NOT appear in an instance document.

III.3 Filing indicators

1.6.(a) – Positive filing indicators

missingPositiveFilingIndicator: An instance document MUST include appropriate positive (i.e. in a find:fIndicators tuple, and either with @find:filed="true" or without @find:filed attribute) filing indicator elements to express which reporting units ("templates") are intended to be reported.

1.6.(b) – Negative filing indicators

An instance document MAY include appropriate negative (i.e. in a find:fIndicators tuple, with @find:filed="false") filing indicator elements indicating reporting units which are intended NOT to be reported in the instance document.

1.6.1 – Multiple filing indicators for the same reporting unit

² Please note that this does not imply that the reference date should be before or after the entry point release date (appearing in the URL). It just means the adequate entry point of taxonomy/ies exist in production for this reference date.

duplicateFilingIndicator: An instance document MUST contain only one filing indicator element for a given reporting unit ("template").

1.6.2 – Filing indicators in several tuples

filingIndicatorInMultipleTuples: All filing indicator elements SHOULD be reported in a single tuple before the business facts in the instance document³.

1.7.(b) – Implication of no facts for an indicated template

positiveFilingIndicatorForNonReportedUnit: An instance document MUST NOT include positive filing indicator elements indicating a reporting unit ("template") as filed (i.e. @find:filed="true", or no @find:filed attribute) for reporting units which are NOT intended to be reported in the instance.

1.7.1 – No facts for non-indicated templates

reportedFactAssociatedWithNoPositiveFilingIndicator: An instance document MUST NOT include business facts which are not contained in any of the reporting units ("templates") that are indicated by the filing indicator elements as reported (unless these facts appear also in another template that is marked as reported by means of filing indicators).

III.4 Completeness of the instance

1.12 – Completeness of the instance

incompleteReport: An instance document MUST represent a complete and full report as a single file. If an amendment to data in a report is required, the instance document MUST contain the full report including the amended data. No content/values from previous instance documents may be assumed.

III.5 Valid XML, XBRL and according to the defined business rules

S.1.9 – Valid XML-XBRL

notValidXbrlDocument: An instance document MUST be XBRL 2.1, XBRL Dimensions 1.0 and XBRL Extensible Enumerations 1.0 valid as well as compliant with the prevailing XML recommendations.

S.1.10.(a) – Valid according to business rules implemented in the taxonomy

notValidAccordingToTaxonomyValidationRules: An instance document MUST be valid with regards to the validation rules as defined in the taxonomy (using XBRL Formula assertions) and discoverable from the referenced entry point schema file ("module"), with the

³ It is EIOPA's strong preference and recommendation this rule is obeyed. However the rule has been relaxed as EIOPA have taken in to consideration the implementation by software solutions in the market that may create XBRL instance documents in a template by template order, e.g. for streaming.

exception of any validation rules indicated as deactivated to comply with in material published by EIOPA⁴.

III.6 Reporting entity

S.2.8.(a) – Identification of the reporting entity: identifier

unacceptableScheme: The application of the LEI and the specific codes MUST be aligned with the EIOPA's Public ITS⁵ and use of LEI⁶ following order of priority: (1) Legal Entity Identifier (LEI), (2) Specific code used in the local market, attributed by supervisory authority.

S.2.8.(b) – Identification of the reporting entity: register

unacceptableIdentifier: The entity identifier MUST be registered for the reporting entity with EIOPA by the NCA prior to remittance, otherwise the report will be rejected by EIOPA.

S.2.8.(c) – Identification of the reporting entity: pattern for scheme and code

inappropriateSchemeOrIdentifier: The @scheme attribute of an identifier element of a context MUST be:

- for the LEI⁷: "http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442"⁸ or the string "LEI", e.g.:
`<identifier scheme="http://standards.iso.org/iso/17442">969500X1Y8G7LA4DYS04</identifier>`
or
`<identifier scheme="LEI">969500X1Y8G7LA4DYS04</identifier>`
- for specific national codes scheme URL defined by the National Competent Authority or the string "SC".
`<identifier scheme="http://www.NCA_SC_Example.xx/something">88888</identifier>`
or
`<identifier scheme="SC">88888</identifier>`

⁴ Please see Taxonomical business validations in <https://eiopa.europa.eu/regulation-supervision/insurance/reporting-format>

⁵ <https://eiopa.europa.eu/Pages/Guidelines/Guidelines-on-the-use-of-the-Legal-Entity-Identifier.aspx>

⁶ See previous footnote.

⁷ <http://standard.iso.org> (note standards) will still be accepted for backwards compatibility reasons however producers of instance documents are encouraged to switch as quickly as possible to producing the correct form

⁸ as for taxonomies for Banking supervision in the Europeans System of Financial Supervision

Reporting entities must always use their LEI unless it is not available in which case a specific national codes scheme must be applied.

2.9 – One reporter

multipleIdentifiers: The same pair of scheme and identifier MUST be used on all contexts in an instance document.

III.7 Reporting period

2.13 – XBRL period consistency

multiplePeriodsUsed: All periods declared in the XBRL contexts of an instance document (elements `xbrli:xbrl/xbrli:context/xbrli:period/xbrli:instant`) MUST refer to the same reference date.

2.10 – `xbrli:xbrl/xbrli:context/xbrli:period/xbrli:instant`

periodWithTimeContentOrTimezone: All instant period date elements MUST be valid against the XML Schema date data type, and reported without a time zone.

III.8 Reporting unit of measure

3.1 – One explicit currency

inconsistencyInCurrencies: An instance document MUST express all monetary facts using a single reporting currency, unless they are explicitly defined to be reported in the original currency.

Such facts are associated to the member "Expressed in currency of denomination (not converted to reporting currency)" of the dimension "Currency Conversion Approach"⁹.

These facts must also be associated to the member of the "Original/exposure currency" dimension having the same value as their `xbrli:unit` element. Under this scenario Total/NA domain member is not allowed (see table below).

		Dimension: Original/exposure currency	
		Domain member: Total/NA	Other domain members: ISO 4217 currencies
Dimension: Currency conversion approach	Domain member: Not applicable Expressed (converted reporting currency) / in to)	<code>xbrli:unit</code> element: ISO 4217 currencies (reporting currency)	<code>xbrli:unit</code> element: ISO 4217 currencies (reporting currency)

⁹ Templates in Solvency II DPM and XBRL taxonomy 2.2.0 that could be reported in different currency than the reporting currency are S.16.01 and S.19.01.

	Domain member: Expressed in currency of denomination (not converted to reporting currency)	Combination not allowed due to business reasons	xbrli:unit element: ISO 4217 currencies (<i>original and reporting currency is the same</i>)
--	--	---	--

When for required fact the original and reporting currency is the same (e.g. EUR) then such a fact MUST be reported as 'Dimension: Currency conversion approach' and 'Domain member: Expressed in currency of denomination (not converted to reporting currency)'.

3.2.(a) – Non-monetary numeric units

pureUnitNotUsedForNonMonetaryValue: An instance document MUST express non-monetary numeric facts using the "xbrli:pure" unit, a unit element with a single measure element as its only child.

III.9 Fact values and data accuracy

S.2.19 – No nil facts

nilUsed:Any reported fact MUST have a value.

Technical note: this rule implies that use of @xsi:nil is prohibited for facts¹⁰.

2.20 - @xml:lang

A textual fact MAY be provided with language information (using @xml:lang).

S.2.16. – Duplicated and inconsistent facts

duplicateFact: An instance document MUST NOT contain any duplicated (identical with respect to all business properties) and inconsistent (identical for all business properties apart from value, data precision or language) business facts.

2.18.(a) – @decimals / 2.17 – @precision

precisionUsed: Precision of facts MUST be expressed using the @decimals attribute.

Technical note: this rule implies that use of @precision attribute is prohibited.

3.3 – Decimal representation

A numeric fact MUST be expressed in the specified unit without any change of scale.

2.18.(b) – No truncation or rounding

¹⁰ @xsi:nil may be used for typed dimension domains.

reportValuesAsKnownAndUnscaled: There SHOULD be no truncation, rounding or any change in the original fact value, which should be reported as known.

3.2.(b) – Non-monetary numeric units

useDecimalFractions: A fact representing rates, percentages or ratios MUST be reported using decimal notation rather than in percentages (e.g. 9.31% must be reported as 0.0931).

S.2.18.(c) – Representation and @decimals for monetary facts

inappropriateDecimalsValueForMonetaryFact: Monetary facts MUST be reported as expressed in the table below with the @decimals attribute and the expression of decimals in the figures¹¹ (unless they are insignificant zeros i.e. "0" digits after the decimal point, e.g. '14.10' may be represented as '14.1', '20.00' as '20')

ITS Text	Reported figure (absolute amounts)	Value of @decimals attribute
a. in templates S.06.02, SE.06.02, PF.06.02, PFE.06.02, S.08.01, S.08.02, S.11.01 and data points with the data type 'monetary' shall be expressed in units with at least two decimals	Any	@decimals >= 2
b. in all other templates, data points with the data type 'monetary' shall be expressed in units with 0 or more decimals;	>=100 000 000	@decimals >= -4
	≥1 000 000 and < 100 000 000	@decimals >= -3
	≥1 000 and <1 000 000	@decimals >= -2
	≥ 0 and <1000	@decimals >= -1

The "INF" value may be used for @decimals in all cases (meaning the value is exactly as expressed (no precision interval).

S.2.18.(d) – @decimal for integer facts

inappropriateDecimalsValueForIntegerFact: Integer facts MUST be reported with @decimals = 0 or "INF".

S.2.18.(e) – Representation and @decimal for other numeric facts

inappropriateDecimalsValueForFactOtherThanMonetaryOrInteger: Ratios and percentages (percentage item type facts) MUST be reported with at least four decimals (four digits after decimal point) unless they are insignificant zeros (i.e. "0" digits after the decimal point) and @decimals >= 4. Other numeric facts (different than monetary, integer, ratios and percentages, e.g. decimal item type) MUST be reported with appropriate precision.

S.2.21 – Text should not start or end with spaces

¹¹ For more information about that please see <http://faq.eurofiling.info/decimals/>

leadingOrTrailingSpacesInText: String facts SHOULD not start or end with space characters unless these are part of the conveyed data.

S.2.22 – stringLengthTooLong: Strings length SHOULD not exceed 4.000 characters

textLengthGreaterThan4000Characters: String facts length SHOULD not exceed 4000 characters.

III.10 Rules for XML and XBRL technical artefacts

1.4 – Character encoding of XBRL instance documents

encodingNotUtf8: An instance document MUST use "UTF-8" encoding.

S.2.6 – xbrli:xbrl/xbrli:context/@id

Semantics SHOULD NOT be conveyed in the xbrli:context/@id attribute and its length SHOULD be kept short.

2.7 – Unused xbrli:xbrl/xbrli:context / 2.22 – Unused xbrli:xbrl/xbrli:unit

unusedContext/unusedUnit: Unused xbrli:context or xbrli:unit elements SHOULD NOT be present in the instance.

S.2.7.(b) – Duplicated of xbrli:xbrl/xbrli:context / 2.21 – Duplicates of xbrli:xbrl/xbrli:unit

duplicateContext/duplicateUnit: An instance document SHOULD NOT contain duplicated contexts or units, unless required for technical reasons, e.g. to support XBRL streaming¹².

S.2.15 - xbrli:xbrl/xbrli:context/xbrli:scenario

scenarioContainsNonDimensionContent: If an xbrli:scenario element appears in a xbrli:context, then its children MUST only be one or more xbrldi:explicitMember and/or xbrldi:typedMember elements (it MUST NOT contain any other content).

3.4 – Unused namespace prefixes

unusedNamespacePrefix: Any namespace prefixes that are not used SHOULD not be declared.

3.5 – Re-use of canonical namespace prefixes

notRecommendedNamespacePrefix: Any namespace prefixes declared in instance documents SHOULD mirror the namespace prefixes as defined by their schema author(s). This does not preclude the use of the default namespace prefix.

¹² <http://specifications.xbrl.org/work-product-index-streaming-extensions-streaming-extensions-1.0.html>

III.11 Other content of XBRL instance document

2.5 – XML comment and documentation

xmlCommentsAreIgnored: All relevant business data MUST only be contained in contexts, units, schemaRef and facts.

S.2.23 – Information about the software

missingOrIncorrectSoftwareInformation: Information on the software component used for production of the XBRL instance SHOULD be included as an XML Processing Instruction at the beginning of the file, after the XML version and encoding declaration. It should have at least the `<?instance-generator>` instructions and the variables: id, version and creationdate. Optionally may include more properties or may include complementary XML comments. Example of valid instruction:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<?instance-generator id="EIOPA T4U" version="2015.8.28.0" creationdate="2015-09-15T16:53:43:00+02:00"?>
```

Comments MAY also be added to provide more information. Example:

```
<!--
Generated by EIOPA T4U at 2015-09-15T16:53:43+02:00
(c) 2015 EIOPA European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority
T4U Version 2015.8.28.0.
-->
```

S.19 – Footnotes

xbrlFootnotesAreIgnored: Footnotes SHOULD NOT be used for any XBRL elements unless allowed by the NCA on Level 1 reporting. Content of footnotes will be ignored by EIOPA.

III.12 Other relevant information for the XBRL instance document

S.20 – Instance MUST take into account other related technical documentation

An instance document MUST take into account the “List of known issues” and “Validations” published and updated regularly on the EIOPA website¹³.

S.21 - Treatment of unreported facts

Unreported numeric facts appearing in templates listed as reported by filing indicator elements of an instance document are treated as zero for the calculations of the validations. **Anyhow, each numeric fact must be reported everywhere required by Business as addressed in the ITS, so any value including 0s.** Otherwise they are treated as unknown.

¹³ <https://eiopa.europa.eu/regulation-supervision/insurance/reporting-format>

Not requested or non-applicable facts for a report SHOULD not be reported at all (rather than reported with „0“ or as empty string).

NOTE: This rule is classified as „should“ to overcome the limitation in some systems requiring to report 0 or empty string for not requested or non-applicable facts.

S.22 - Nil typed dimension domains

When the definition of a data point includes a typed dimension but this typed dimension is not needed to describe a fact corresponding to this data point (e.g. in case of optional columns in open tables) then its typed domain value in the instance document is nil (i.e. no value and @xsi:nil="true"), e.g.

```
<s2c_typ:ID xsi:nil="true"/>
```

or

```
<s2c_typ:ID xsi:nil="true"></s2c_typ:ID>
```

In general, this rule shall apply also on the z-axis. However, reporting "0" is also admitted and understood as nil, in order to minimize impact in software systems.

S.23 – Obligatory and unique artificial keys

Typed dimensions used to model mandatory artificial keys of open tables MUST have unique values for a table within a report and MUST NOT be nilled. Affected typed dimensions are marked as *artificial key*|"mandatory" in the annotated templates document, that is published alongside the filing rules document on the EIOPA website.

IV General guidelines and examples

IV.1 Filing indicators

Scenario	Type of filing indicator	Causes rejection
A template is included in an instance document together with its facts	Positive	No
A template is not reported in an instance document due to one of the two reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. reporter is having no relevant transactions or positions to report b. on that occasion falling outside a relevant threshold for the reporting of the unit 	Explicitly negative	No
A template is marked as filed, but no data for the template is reported	Positive	Yes
Values for a template are reported, at least some of which are also not part of another template which has a positive filing indicator	Non present or Explicitly negative	Yes
A template is reported	Filing indicator reported multiple times	Yes
A template is not reported, but facts that would appear on that template are reported and are contained in another template reported in the instance document	Non present or Explicitly negative	No

IV.2 Example of valid representations, @decimals value and impact on validation tolerances

XBRL reported value in data points with the data type 'monetary' that shall be expressed in units with two decimals	Value of @decimals attribute	Validation tolerances
850532.15	2	+/- 0.005 units
850532.103	INF	fully precise
850532.1¹⁴	2	+/- 0.005 units
XBRL reported value in data points with the data type 'monetary' that shall be expressed in units with no decimals	Value of @decimals attribute	Validation tolerances

¹⁴ Only if the original figure is rounded to 850532.10

550485000.532	-4	+/- 5000 units
4850532	-3	+/- 500 units
8505	-2	+/- 50 units
532	-1	+/- 5 units
532.563	INF	fully precise

IV.3 Codes and Type of Codes

IV.3.1 LEI and other entity codes

For identification of an entity based on the "code" and "type of code" predefined pattern (one of the following) MUST be used following the examples below:

1. LEI/{code}, e.g. "[LEI/969500X1Y8G7LA4DYS04](#)",
2. SC/{code} for specific code e.g. "[SC/979500X1Y9G7LA4DYS04](#)",
9. None¹⁵.

IV.3.2 ISIN and other instrument codes

Please note for this section, that EIOPA will not add new technical validations for 2.3.0 release to ensure that all the new codifications are been strictly used. However, EIOPA kindly requests to implement properly the change already for the 2.3.0 systems to meet the below codification improvements, as the data quality for this lists is of high importance. For 2.4.0 release it is expected that except of provided list of the industry codes only "CAU/" followed by one of the four accepted acronyms will be used ("CAU/INST", "CAU/MAL", "CAU/INDEX" and "CAU/ISIN"). "CAU/{other string}" shall not be reported.

For identification of an instrument based on "code" and "type of code" predefined pattern (one of the following) MUST be used:

1. ISIN/{code} for ISO 6166 ISIN code,
2. CUSIP/{code} for The Committee on Uniform Securities Identification Procedures numbers assigned by the CUSIP Service Bureau for U.S. and Canadian companies,
3. SEDOL/{code} for Stock Exchange Daily Official List for the London Stock Exchange,
4. WKN/{code} for Wertpapier Kenn-Number,
5. BT/{code} for Bloomberg Ticker,
6. BBGID/{code} for Bloomberg Global ID,
7. RIC/{code} for Reuters instrument code,

¹⁵ „None" should be reported in scenario when LEI code is expected but was not attributed to an undertaking. It is not equivalent of „Not applicable" as it has a certain meaning. Therefore value should be reported as ...">None</"...

8. FIGI/{code} for Financial Instrument Global Identifier,
9. OCANNA/{code} for other code by members of the Association of National Numbering Agencies,
99. CAU/INST/{code} for code attributed by the undertaking.

Only the prefixes listed above MUST be used to identify instrument, for example: “[ISIN/US5949181045](#)”¹⁶. If those prefixes do not assure uniqueness of the instrument code (i.e. for cases where instruments share the same industry code on different markets but are quoted in different currencies) the filer must extend the pattern using the CAU code. In such a scenario it is necessary to specify the underlying code type and the rationale for extending it. For example if the ISIN code doesn’t differentiate between the instrument quoted in EUR and USD the pattern should reflect it: CAU/ISIN/{code+EUR} and CAU/ISIN/{code+USD} respectively. Please note that all symbols “/” and “+” must be part of the code, for example “[CAU/ISIN/UK1234567890+USD](#)”.

In case when multiple assets/liabilities or indexes shall be reported following dedicated pattern must be followed:

- CAU/MAL for ‘Multiple assets/liabilities’,
- CAU/INDEX for indexes.

URLs MUST NOT be used as prefixes. For example the following MUST NOT be used:

“<http://standards.iso.org/iso/6166/US5949181045>”.

Instrument code MUST use the following priority:

- ISO 6166 code of ISIN when available (ISIN),
- Other recognised codes (CUSIP, SEDOL, WKN, BT, BBGID, RIC, FIGI, OCANNA)
- Code attributed by the undertaking (CAU/INST), must be used as the default option when none of the options above are available. This code must be unique and kept consistent over time. Additionally, when spaces are not having a particular meaning for the codes (i.e. there are not two different codes like “CAU/INST/PT 23” “CAU/INST/PT23”) is recommended to remove the spaces and particularly if they are at the start or at the end of the code (“CAU/INST/ PT23”).

- ¹⁶ EIOPA would like to recall that the use of CAU/INST is only allowed when none of the options stated above is available. For example, “CAU/US5949181045” or “CAU/INST/US5949181045” are not allowed when “US5949181045” is a valid ISIN code (it MUST be reported as “ISIN/US5949181045”). Also note that when undertakings assign CAU/INST codes (e.g. “CAU/INST/{MyCompanyUniqueIDForInvestment}”) then it is expected that they are stable across the reports.

V Solvency II related guidelines and examples

V.1 LEI and other entity codes

Please note that the taxonomy follows an approach where “code” and “type of code” of an entity is merged in the definition of a unique identifier. Table below identified such cases for the Solvency II package.

Business table groups	Variant	Table	"Code" and "Type of code" RC code	Item must be reported*	Available options if reported	Are the special cases for entity codes acceptable?	Modelling approach	Label of artefact used in modelling
S.01.02	.01	S.01.02.(variant).01	R0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Undertaking identification code
S.01.02	.04	S.01.02.(variant).01	R0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Undertaking identification code
S.01.02	.07	S.01.02.(variant).01	R0050	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Branch identification code
S.01.03	.04	S.01.03.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.03.02	.01; .04	S.03.02.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String OB/Unlimited guarantees and letters of credit received TS/Code of provider of guarantee
S.03.03	.01; 04	S.03.03.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String OB/Unlimited guarantees and letters of credit given TS/Code of receiver of guarantee

S.06.02	.01; 04; 07	S.06.02.(variant).02	C0210	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Issuer code
S.06.02	.04	S.06.02.(variant).01	C0020	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimensi on	CE: Identification code of entity
S.06.02	.01; 04; 07	S.06.02.(variant).02	C0250	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Issuer group code
SE.06.02	.16; 18	SE.06.02.(variant).02	C0210	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Issuer code
SE.06.02	.16; 18	SE.06.02.(variant).02	C0250	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Issuer group code
S.07.01	.04	S.07.01.(variant).01	C0020	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimensi on	CE: Identification code of entity
S.08.01	.04	S.08.01.(variant).01	C0020	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimensi on	CE: Identification code of entity
S.08.01	.01; 04	S.08.01.(variant).02	C0270	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Count erparty code
S.08.01	.01; 04	S.08.01.(variant).02	C0340	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Counterparty group code
S.08.02	.01; 04	S.08.02.(variant).02	C0250	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Count erparty code
S.08.02	.01; 04	S.08.02.(variant).02	C0280	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Count erparty group code
S.08.02	.04	S.08.02.(variant).01	C0020	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimensi on	CE: Identification code of entity
S.09.01	.04	S.09.01.(variant).01	C0020	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimensi on	CE: Identification code of entity
S.10.01	.01; 04	S.10.01.(variant).01	C0080	Yes	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Count erparty code
S.10.01	.04	S.10.01.(variant).01	C0020	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimensi on	CE: Identification code of entity
S.11.01	.01; .04	S.11.01.(variant).02	C0170	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Issuer code
S.11.01	.01; .04	S.11.01.(variant).02	C0210	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Issuer group code
S.11.01	.04	S.11.01.(variant).01	C0020	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimensi on	CE: Identification code of entity

S.15.01	.04	S.15.01.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.15.02	.04	S.15.02.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.26.02	.01; 04	S.26.02.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String II/Standard formula TS/Single name exposure code
SR.26.02	.01	SR.26.02.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} None	No	Metric	Metric: String II/Standard formula TS/Single name exposure code
S.30.02	.01	S.30.02.(variant).01	C0050	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	RF: Code reinsurer
		S.30.02.(variant).02	C0180	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	RF: Code reinsurer
		S.30.02.(variant).03	C0280	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	RF: Code reinsurer
		S.30.02.(variant).01	C0070	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	CA: Code broker
		S.30.02.(variant).02	C0200	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	CA: Code broker
		S.30.02.(variant).04	C0370	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	CA: Code broker
S.30.04	.01	S.30.04.(variant).01	C0050	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	RF: Code reinsurer
		S.30.04.(variant).02	C0180	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	RF: Code reinsurer
		S.30.04.(variant).01	C0070	No	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	CA: Code broker
		S.30.04.(variant).03	C0270	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	CA: Code broker
		S.30.04.(variant).01	C0140	No	LEI/{Code} None	No	Typed dimension	CV: Code collateral/guarantee provider
S.31.01	.01; 04	S.31.01.(variant).01	C0040	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	RF: Code reinsurer

		S.31.01.(variant).02	C0160	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	RF: Code reinsurer
S.31.01	.04	S.31.01.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.31.02	.01; .04	S.31.02.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	OV: Code of SPV
S.31.02	.01; .04	S.31.02.(variant).02	C0200	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Typed dimension	OV: Code of SPV
S.31.02	.04	S.31.02.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.32.01	.04	S.32.01.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.33.01	.04	S.33.01.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.34.01	.04	S.34.01.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.35.01	.04	S.35.01.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
S.36.01	.01	S.36.01.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	IX: Identification code of investor/buyer/transferee/payer/reinsured/beneficiary
S.36.01	.01	S.36.01.(variant).01	C0060	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	ZS: Identification code of issuer/seller/transferor/receiver/reinsurer/provider
S.36.02	.01	S.36.02.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	IX: Identification code of investor/buyer/transferee/payer/reinsured/beneficiary
S.36.02	.01	S.36.02.(variant).01	C0060	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	ZS: Identification code of issuer/seller/transferor/receiver/reinsurer/provider
S.36.03	.01	S.36.03.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	IX: Identification code of investor/buyer/transferee/payer/

								reinsured/beneficiary
S.36.03	.01	S.36.03.(variant).01	C0060	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	ZS: Identification code of issuer/seller/transferor/receiver/reinsurer/provider
S.36.04	.01	S.36.04.(variant).01	C0030	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	IX: Identification code of investor/buyer/transferor/payer/reinsured/beneficiary
S.36.04	.01	S.36.04.(variant).01	C0060	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	ZS: Identification code of issuer/seller/transferor/receiver/reinsurer/provider
S.37.01	.04	S.37.01.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} None	No	Typed dimension	GO: Counterparty Group ID
S.37.01	.04	S.37.01.(variant).01	C0120	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Typed dimension	CE: Identification code of entity
SPV.01.02	.20	SPV.01.02.(variant).01	R0020	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	No	Metric	Metric: String TS/Code of SPV
SPV.03.01	.20	SPV.03.01.(variant).02	C0050	Yes	LEI/{Code} SC/{Code}	Yes	Metric	Metric: String TS/Cedant code

*- for metrics in open tables 'Yes' means that the fact has to be reported when template is reported; for metrics in closed tables (i.e. S.26.02) 'Yes' means that the fact has to be reported when particular row or column is reported; for typed dimensions 'Yes' means that it must not be reported as nil.

N.B.: The special cases for entity codes

For non-EEA undertakings and non-regulated undertakings within the group, identification code will be provided by the group according to one of two predefined patterns:

- SC/LEI/{Parent_LEI_code}/{ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 code of the country of the undertaking}/{5 digits}, for example: [SC/LEI/969500X1Y8G7LA4DYS04/PL/12345](#),
- SC/SC/{Parent_SC_code}/{ISO 3166-1 alpha-2 code of the country of the undertaking}/{5 digits}, for example [SC/SC/979500X1Y9G7LA4DYS04/SK/67890](#).

V.2 ISIN and other instrument codes

The taxonomy follows an approach where "code" and "type of code" of an instrument is merged in the definition of a unique identifier. Table below identifies such cases for the Solvency II package.

Business table groups	Variant	Table	"Code" and "Type of code" RC codes	Item must be reported *	Modelling approach	Label of artefact used in modelling
S.02.03	.07	S.02.03.(variant).02	C0020	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.06.02	.01; 04; 07	S.06.02.(variant).01	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.06.02.(variant).02	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
SE.06.02	.16; 18	S.06.02.(variant).01	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.06.02.(variant).02	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.06.03	.01; .04	S.06.03.(variant).01	C0010	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.07.01	.01; .04	S.07.01.(variant).01	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.08.01	.01; 04	S.08.01.(variant).01	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.08.01.(variant).02	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.08.01	.01; 04	S.08.01.(variant).01	C0090	No	Typed dimension	IW: Code of underlying derivative
S.08.02	.01; 04	S.08.02.(variant).01	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.08.02.(variant).02	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.08.02.(variant).01	C0090	No	Typed dimension	IW: Code of underlying derivative
S.11.01	.01; 04	S.11.01.(variant).01	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.11.01.(variant).02	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.24.01	.01	S.24.01.(variant).01	C0020	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.24.01.(variant).02	C0090	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.24.01.(variant).05	C0240	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.24.01.(variant).06	C0310	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.24.01.(variant).07	C0380	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.24.01.(variant).08	C0450	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
		S.24.01.(variant).09	C0520	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.31.02	.01; 04	S.31.02.(variant).01	C0040	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI

S.36.01	.01	S.36.01.(variant).01	C0080	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.36.02	.01	S.36.02.(variant).01	C0080	Yes	Typed dimension	UI: URI
S.36.02	.01	S.36.02.(variant).01	C0180	No	Metric	Metric: String TT/Futures, forwards, options and other derivatives TS/Description of asset/liability underlying the derivative
S.37.01	.04	S.37.01.(variant).01	C0060	No	Typed dimension	UI: URI

*- for typed dimensions 'Yes' means that it must not be reported as nil.

V.3

V.3 Reporting of Non Applicable facts

The below examples are provided as a guide to identify cases where non applicable facts may be reported:

1. In S.06.02 if the internal rating is reported then the external is not requested and should not be reported. However, it may be reported as 0 for technical reasons.
2. In S.19.01 if a company is authorized only for 5 years in a line of business, the previous non applicable years should not be reported. However, it may be reported as 0 for technical reasons.
3. In S.06.02 Par amount (C0140) "...nominal amount for CIC = 72, 73, 74, 75 and 79 is applicable" the par amount shall be reported for these CIC codes (including 0s) and should not be reported in other cases except when is needed as 0 for technical reasons.

V.4 Artificial keys

By design typed dimensions used to model the mandatory artificial keys are unique for tables of an entypoint (except the technical entypoint).

Table group	Variant	Table	RC code	Typed dimension	Label of typed dimension
T.99.01	01	T.99.01.(variant).01	C0010	YM	T.99.01.01.01 line identification (Table)
S.02.03	07	S.02.03.(variant).03	C0130	XT	S.02.03.zz.03 line identification
S.06.02	01;04;07	S.06.02.(variant).01	C0001	XA	S.06.02.zz.01 line identification
SE.06.02	16;18	SE.06.02.(variant).01	C0001	XA	S.06.02.zz.01 line identification
S.06.03	01;04	S.06.03.(variant).01	C0100	XE	S.06.03.zz.01 line identification
S.07.01	01;04	S.07.01.(variant).01	C0200	XR	S.07.01.zz.01 line identification
S.08.01	01;04	S.08.01.(variant).01	C0440	XB	S.08.01.zz.01 line identification
S.08.02	01;04	S.08.02.(variant).01	C0440	XC	S.08.02.zz.01 line identification
S.09.01	01;04	S.09.01.(variant).01	C0001	XD	S.09.01.zz.01 line identification

S.10.01	01;04	S.10.01.(variant).01	C0180	XF	S.10.01.zz.01 line identification
S.11.01	01;04	S.11.01.(variant).01	C0290	XG	S.11.01.zz.01 line identification
S.14.01	01	S.14.01.(variant).01	C0240	XH	S.14.01.zz.01 line identification
S.23.04	01;04	S.23.04.(variant).01	C0005	YG	S.23.04.zz.01 line identification
S.23.04	01;04	S.23.04.(variant).02	C0185	YH	S.23.04.zz.02 line identification
S.23.04	01;04	S.23.04.(variant).03	C0265	YI	S.23.04.zz.03 line identification
S.23.04	01;04	S.23.04.(variant).04	C0445	YJ	S.23.04.zz.04 line identification
S.23.04	01;04	S.23.04.(variant).05	C0565	YK	S.23.04.zz.05 line identification
S.23.04	01;04	S.23.04.(variant).06	C0585	YL	S.23.04.zz.06 line identification
S.23.04	04	S.23.04.(variant).10	C0715	XY	S.23.04.zz.10 line identification
S.30.04	01	S.30.04.(variant).01	C0001	YE	S.30.04.zz.01 line identification
S.31.02	01;04	S.31.02.(variant).01	C0001	XU	S.31.02.zz.01 line identification
S.36.01	01	S.36.01.(variant).01	C0001	YA	S.36.01.zz.01 line identification
S.36.02	01	S.36.02.(variant).01	C0001	YB	S.36.02.zz.01 line identification
S.36.03	01	S.36.03.(variant).01	C0001	YC	S.36.03.zz.01 line identification
S.36.04	01	S.36.04.(variant).01	C0001	YD	S.36.04.zz.01 line identification
S.37.01	04	S.37.01.(variant).01	C0001	YF	S.37.01.zz.01 line identification
E.01.01	16	E.01.01.(variant).01	EC0010	XZ	E.01.01.zz.01 line identification

V.5 Multi value elements reporting is applicable

Some facts in Solvency II represent predefined lists of options, i.e. the LOGs identify the set of allowed values to be reported in a cell. In a few cases the value of a cell may include one or more options from a given set. In such situation, the value MUST be reported as a set of applicable integer numbers provided by the business logs, in incremental order and separated with commas (without spaces).

For example, according to business logs, "Activity code broker" (column C0090 of S.30.02.01.01) could be reported as a combination of: "1 - Intermediary for placement", "2 - Underwriting on behalf of," and "3 - Financial services". If "2 - Underwriting on behalf of" and "3 - Financial services" are applicable "Activity code broker" then "2,3" MUST be reported).

The full list of multi value reporting elements in 2.2.0 version is listed in the table below.

Technical table code	RC code	Column label	MD metric labels	Mertic ID	Subdomain	Options
S.25.01.21.03	R0030C0090	USP	Metric: String TS/USP - Life underwriting risk	si2468	AP_19	1,9
S.25.01.22.03	R0030C0090	USP	Metric: String TS/USP - Life underwriting risk	si2468	AP_19	1,9
S.25.01.21.03	R0040C0090	USP	Metric: String TS/USP - Health underwriting risk	si2469	AP_20	1,2,3,4,5,9
S.25.01.22.03	R0040C0090	USP	Metric: String TS/USP - Health underwriting risk	si2469	AP_20	1,2,3,4,5,9
S.25.01.21.03	R0050C0090	USP	Metric: String TS/USP - Non life underwriting risk	si2470	AP_21	4,6,7,8,9
S.25.01.22.03	R0050C0090	USP	Metric: String TS/USP - Non life underwriting risk	si2470	AP_21	4,6,7,8,9
S.25.02.21.01	C0090	USP	Metric: String TS/USP	si2471	AP_22	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
S.25.02.22.01	C0090	USP	Metric: String TS/USP	si2471	AP_22	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9
S.33.01.04.01	C0150	Use of undertaking specific parameters	Metric: String TS/Description, where undertaking specific parameters were used in standard formula [if anywhere]	si1371	LB_53	1,2,3,4
S.33.01.04.01	C0160	Use of simplifications	Metric: String TS/Description, where simplifications were	si1370	LB_54	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14

			used in standard formula [if anywhere]			,15,16,17, 18
S.30.02.01.01	C0090	Activity code broker	Metric: String TS/Activity code broker	si1858	TB_16	1,2,3
S.30.02.01.02	C0220	Activity code broker	Metric: String TS/Activity code broker	si1858	TB_16	1,2,3
S.30.03.01.01	C0100	Inclusion of catastrophic reinsurance cover	Metric: String TS/Description of inclusion of catastrophic guarantees	si1355	RT_16	1,2,3,4,5,6 ,7,8,9
S.30.04.01.01	C0090	Activity code broker	Metric: String TS/Activity code broker	si1858	TB_16	1,2,3

V.6 Reporting special cases with Solvency II Taxonomy

The foundation of efficient supervisory reporting is regular data submission. Nevertheless, supervisors need to have the possibility to adapt to any unexpected scenarios. This includes requesting, receiving and accepting submissions including only specific template(s) or even selected data points.

There are at least the following three special cases:

V.6.1 Special cases foreseen in the ITS

The ITS (Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/2450) includes one specific example which might need to be provided by filer and be covered as a special case. The example relates to templates S.30.01, S.30.02, S.30.03 and S.30.04 for which annex II states: *"If reinsurance strategy changes materially after that date or if the renovation of the reinsurance contracts are performed later than the reporting date and before next 1 January, the information on this template shall be re-submitted when adequate"*.

V.6.2 Special cases not particularly foreseen in the ITS, but following the general approach of article 35 of the Directive

According to the article 35 of Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EC): *"Member States shall require insurance and reinsurance undertakings to submit to the supervisory authorities the information which is necessary for the purposes of supervision (...)"*. As a result the NCAs may in particular cases require, for example, monthly submissions or submissions addressing specific issues.

V.6.3 Empty submissions

Empty submission is a report covering only the Basic information template. Such filing could be required in order to address particular needs of an NCA or to reflect special status of an undertaking. For example, in case of a merger between two companies in insurance sector when a transaction is backdated, one side of the merged companies may need to resubmit Q1 and Q2 reports with no data, while the other would have to resubmit the data of the entire merged company.

V.6.4 Fields values indications

	Regular/Ad-hoc submission (R0100, C0010)	Initial submission or re-submission (R0210, C0010)
Special cases foreseen in the ITS	Resubmission of S.30	Submission for the first update and afterwards re-submission
Special cases not foreseen in the ITS, but following general approach of article 35 of the Solvency II Directive	Ad-hoc	Check with the NCA
Empty submissions	Empty submission	Check with the NCA

V.7 Solvency II Public Disclosure Templates

The Solvency II Taxonomy includes the Public Disclosure Templates. However, this is only as an option for the undertakings to facilitate the preparation of the reports. The inclusion of these templates in the Taxonomy does not imply any changes in the Implementing Technical Standards regarding the format of disclosure and currently there is no intention to collect or publish these data in XBRL format.

VI Pension Funds related guidelines and examples

TBA